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Most vulnerabilities aren’t new

- Many are “solved” problems
- But they end up in code anyway! Why?
Why do vulnerabilities happen?
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Research Questions

• Do developers realize SO can be bad?
• Do they have concerns when importing security code?
  • What are their mitigation tactics?
Research Questions

• Do developers realize SO can be bad?

• What are their mitigation tactics?

understanding developers ➔ better mitigation design
Method Overview

1. Find people who have buggy code
2. Survey them (n=133)
3. Interview some (n=15)
4. Analyze results, identify key themes
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Finding buggy code

- Common crypto bugs found from prior work\textsuperscript{1,2}
- Manually find these in SO code snippets
- Use MOSS to match with GitHub repos
- Manually inspect to be sure.

\textsuperscript{1} Egele et al. -- “An empirical study of cryptographic misuse in android applications”, CCS ’13
\textsuperscript{2} Lazar et al. -- “Why does cryptographic software fail?: A case study and open problems”, APSys ’14
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The Survey

- Background: experience, education, work environment, etc.
- Usage of online programming forums in general, for security
  - How frequently?
- How do you vet code from forums?
The Survey

“In your own words, please explain how you evaluate the quality of code from online code snippets. How do you decide whether to accept or reject the code?”
Method Overview

Find people who have buggy code

Survey them (n=133)

Interview some (n=15)

Analyze results, identify key themes
The Interview

• About the project: team, deadline, etc.
• Pointing out the bugs
• Why/how did the bug happen?
• How would you fix it?
• How would you avoid this in the future? What would help?
The Interview

- About the project: team, deadline, etc.
- Pointing out the bugs
- Why/how did the bug happen?
- How would you fix it?
- How would you avoid this in the future? What would help?

“What would help you easily integrate security-related code into your tasks correctly and efficiently?”
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Qualitative coding

- Rigorous social-science approach to analyzing free-text data
- Assign category labels to each statement; generate themes
- To ensure validity, two researchers work independently
Qualitative coding

- Rigorous social-science approach to analyzing free-text data
- Assign category labels to each statement; generate themes
- To ensure validity, two researchers work independently
- K = 0.9, 0.82, 0.81 “almost perfect” reliability
Bugs We Examined

- Six vulnerabilities drawn from ¹,²
- Generally involving crypto, often authentication/credentials

¹ Egele et al. -- “An empirical study of cryptographic misuse in android applications”, CCS ’13
² Lazar et al. -- “Why does cryptographic software fail?: A case study and open problems”, APSys ’14
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vulns</th>
<th># Surveys taken</th>
<th># Interviews</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bad RNG</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECB mode</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV problems</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant keys</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant salts</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Few iterations</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
public class {

    private static final byte[] SALT = {
        (byte) 0xA9, (byte) 0x9B, (byte) 0xC8, (byte) 0x32,
        (byte) 0x56, (byte) 0x35, (byte) 0xE3, (byte) 0x03
    };
}
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Software dev</td>
<td>54.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Faculty member</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Graduate students</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of dev. exp.</td>
<td>0-4</td>
<td>29.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5-9</td>
<td>29.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10-14</td>
<td>21.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15-24</td>
<td>20.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security background</td>
<td>Slightly know.</td>
<td>21.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Somewhat know.</td>
<td>52.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Very know.</td>
<td>21.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What did we observe?

- Drawing from online sources, in general
- Why did bugs happen?
- Security behaviors and justifications
Drawing from online sources

- Devs do refer to online sources (duh)
- Precautions when importing code
- Some claim they do not copy code
- Sometimes functionality is all that matters
Why did it happen?

- 3 blamed SO
- 1 blamed a book
- 4 couldn’t do security evaluation
- 8 weren’t prioritizing security
- 2 wanted the code to be more efficient.
Security behaviors and justifications

- Participants skeptical of online security code
- Some devs trust their security skills
- Majority admits they need to learn more
- Prioritize functionality over security
- Some believe it’s not their responsibility
Participants claim to be skeptical

- Many mitigation techniques indicate this
- Of people who refer to security code:
  - Survey: most described validation mechanisms
  - Interview: 8/12 did not do validation for the project
Insufficient security knowledge

- Most say security knowledge is important
- Some say bug was due to lack of knowledge
- Most would need to learn more to integrate security code properly.
Insufficient security knowledge

"Well-written articles to explain the problems, explain the pitfalls, explain mistakes people commonly make. And I would love to see an article written like that. . . ""
Insufficient security knowledge

- Validation by learning:
  - From forums, blogs, articles
  - Industry organizations, official documentation
Some trust their security skills

- Some validation mechanisms imply confidence in skills:
  - Inspect code carefully (19/43)
  - Write tests, try to break (7/43)
  - When asked, most offered fixes to the bug
    - Two said they would rewrite crypto libraries!
Is this a contradiction?

- Need more knowledge vs. trust my skills
- In survey, mostly two separate groups
- But in the interview, most said both
  - They reflect on their processes and realize they need to learn more?
Security isn’t the top priority

- In line with prior work\(^1\)
- Functionality, efficiency are higher priorities
  - Common in both interview and survey

\(^1\) Balebako and Cranor -- “Improving app privacy: Nudging app developers to protect user privacy”, IEEE S&P ’14
Security isn’t the top priority

“This was an acceptable solution. I did not search again and again to find the best solution or to find the weakness in my code. I grabbed it from some forum ... Just take, use, and go on.”
Security isn’t the top priority

“The hard-coded thing probably is because it took less time for me to encrypt and decrypt.”
Security is someone else’s job

• In line with prior work \(^1,^2\)
• Need code reviews to avoid similar bugs
• Use methods “trusted by the community”
  • Lots of upvotes, comments
• Completely outsource security.

\(^1\) Mouratidis et al. -- “When security meets software engineering: a case of modelling secure information systems”, Information Systems ’05
\(^2\) Redmiles et al. -- “How i learned to be secure: a census-representative survey of security advice sources and behavior”, CCS ’13
Security is someone else’s job

“Someone else in a service to do it for me, like some other company, to offload problems to someone else. I would probably use some service like Firebase from Google, they have all the authentication service.”
Security not important in my context

- 7/15 interviewees
  - Project is not used by many people
  - Project is used in internal offline tasks only
  - Crypto primitives for “non-security” applications
Implications for design

• Security-oriented feedback system
  • Essentially warning people about security issues.
  • For “security not my job” people
• Linking to educational material.
  • For people who want to learn
I am encrypt in JavaScript and decrypt in Java but getting below error:

Error thrown in java: java.lang.Exception: Given final block not properly padded

Below is my Java script code:

```javascript
var key = CryptoJS.enc.Utf8.parse("0123456789012345");
var iv = CryptoJS.enc.Utf8.parse("0123456789012345");

var encrypted = CryptoJS.AES.encrypt(password, key, {iv: iv});
console.log("encrypted msg = "+ encrypted.toString());
```
I am encrypt in JavaScript and decrypt in Java but getting below error:

Error thrown in java: java.lang.Exception: Given final block not properly padded

Below is my Java script code:

```javascript
var key = CryptoJS.enc.Utf8.parse("0123456789012345");
var iv = CryptoJS.enc.Utf8.parse("0123456789012345");

var encrypted = CryptoJS.AES.encrypt(password, key, {iv: iv});
console.log('encrypted msg = ' + encrypted.toString());
```
Summary

- Survey and interview study on insecure code propagation
- Several critical reasons:
  - Devis (over)trust their security skills
  - Insufficient security knowledge
  - Security is low priority
  - Security is not my job
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