

On Reciprocity and Time-Varyable Networks

Physically, the notion of reciprocity for networks means that an interchange of dual variable source and load leaves the load variable unchanged ([1], pp. 148-151). Viewed in this manner, the assumption of time-invariance on networks seems unnecessary, but—except for a definition ([2], p. 9)—we are unaware of any treatment of the properties of time-variable reciprocal networks. To investigate such situations, which is the purpose of this correspondence, we make this physical notion mathematically precise and valid for n -ports by following McMillan [3], p. 236.

Consider an n -port network N of allowed pairs $[v, i]$ of (time-domain) voltage v and current i n -vectors [2], [4]. Then N is called reciprocal if

$$\tilde{v}_1 * i_2 = \tilde{v}_2 * i_1 \tag{1a}$$

for all allowed pairs $[v_1, i_1]$ and $[v_2, i_2]$. The superscript tilde, $\tilde{}$, denotes matrix transposition, while the asterisk, $*$, denotes convolution. In (1a) one can think of the subscripts 1 and 2 as corresponding to a first and second set of measurements to correlate with the physical notion. Convolution is used because time-domain quantities are considered, whereas McMillan worked in the frequency-domain. McMillan also considered a restricted class of networks, while (1a) holds for any arbitrary network. By defining incident, v^i , and reflected, v^r , variables [5] through $v = v^i + v^r$ and $i = v^i - v^r$, (1a) is seen by direct substitution to be completely equivalent to

$$\tilde{v}_1^i * v_2^r = \tilde{v}_2^i * v_1^r \tag{1b}$$

With (1b) in mind, and to obtain general but concrete results, we assume that N is linear and completely solvable, in which case a scattering matrix $s(t, \tau)$ exists [5], defined by

$$v^r(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} s(t, \tau) v^i(\tau) d\tau \tag{2}$$

In (2) and the following, the integral is to be rigorously considered as a distributional




Fig. 1. Two-port with nonsymmetric s [turns-ratios as in (7)].




Fig. 2. Nonreciprocal equivalents.

mapping ([2], p. 10, and [6], p. 221). Substituting (2) into (1b) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{v}_1^i(\lambda) s(t - \lambda, \tau) v_2^i(\tau) d\lambda d\tau \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{v}_2^i(x) s(t - x, y) v_1^i(y) dx dy \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{v}_1^i(\lambda) \tilde{s}(t - \tau, \lambda) v_2^i(\tau) d\lambda d\tau \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

$$z(t, \tau) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(t - \tau) & \cos(t - \tau) - \sin(t - \tau) \\ \cos(t - \tau) + \sin(t - \tau) & 2 \cos(t - \tau) \end{bmatrix} u(t - \tau) \tag{8b}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \cos t & -\sin t \\ \cos t + \sin t & \cos t - \sin t \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u(t - \tau) & 0 \\ 0 & u(t - \tau) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos \tau & \cos \tau + \sin \tau \\ -\sin \tau & \cos \tau - \sin \tau \end{bmatrix} \tag{8c}$$

where the final term is obtained by transposing and letting $x = \tau$, $y = \lambda$ in the middle term. As solvability and reciprocity require consideration of all allowed v_1^i and v_2^i , (3) shows

$$s(t - \lambda, \tau) = \tilde{s}(t - \tau, \lambda) \tag{4}$$

for all t, λ, τ . Setting $\lambda = 0$ shows that a linear, completely solvable network N is reciprocal (under the given definition) if, and only if,

$$s(t, \tau) = \tilde{s}(t - \tau, 0) \tag{5}$$

This shows that N is time-invariant and that s is symmetric—and consequently so are the impedance z and admittance y , if they exist ([2], p. 10).

At this point one wonders if the proper definition of reciprocity has been used. However, one intuitively feels that a non-time-invariant network is nonreciprocal. Furthermore, one has the following two striking examples.

Example 1: The time-varying network of Fig. 1 has

$$\begin{aligned} s(t, \tau) &= -\delta(t - \tau) I_2 + \phi(t) \\ &= \frac{1}{\int_{\tau}^{\infty} \tilde{\phi}(\lambda) \phi(\lambda) d\lambda} \tilde{\phi}(\tau) u(t - \tau) \end{aligned} \tag{6a}$$

with

$$\tilde{\phi}(t) = [\exp(-t), \exp(-2t)] \tag{6b}$$

for which $\tilde{s} \neq s$ (here $\delta = u'$, $u =$ unit step function, $\tilde{} =$ transpose, and $I_2 = 2 \times 2$ identity). The transformer turns-ratios are

$$n_1(t) = e^{-t}(e^{-2t} + \frac{1}{2}e^{-4t})^{1/2} \tag{7a}$$

$$n_2(t) = e^{-2t}(e^{-2t} + \frac{1}{2}e^{-4t})^{1/2} \tag{7b}$$

Although the transformer has a symmetric matrix [5], as does the capacitor, the interconnection does not.

Example 2: The time-invariant nonreciprocal network of Fig. 2(a) has the equivalent of Fig. 2(b). Both structures have

$$Z(p) = \frac{p \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}}{p^2 + 1} \tag{8a}$$

and

Here $Z(p)$ and $z(t, \tau)$ are the frequency- and time-domain impedance matrices; s can be found by taking the inverse Laplace transform of

$$S(p) = [Z(p) + 1_2]^{-1} [Z(p) - 1_2]$$

and is seen to be nonsymmetric. Consequently, the interconnection of what may look like reciprocal (time-varying) elements can yield a network which would customarily be called nonreciprocal.

It seems, from these two examples, that the use of a definition in terms of symmetric describing matrices, as may be found in the time-invariant case (reference [7], p. 90), is meaningless. Furthermore, such definitions are to be deprecated on the grounds that they do not permit consideration of such networks as the nullator and norator (reference [2], p. 7). In actual fact, (1a) corresponds to the Lorentz reciprocity for sinusoidal electromagnetic fields in time-invariant media (reference [8], p. 454). It therefore seems that more detailed study in terms of electromagnetic and thermodynamic laws may prove worth while [9]-[11].

In summary, we have shown that what appears as the most reasonable definition of reciprocity, (1a), places symmetry and time-invariance constraints, (5), on the scattering matrix.

B. D. O. ANDERSON
R. W. NEWCOMB
Stanford Electronics Labs.
Stanford, Calif.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. A. Guillemin, *Introductory Circuit Theory*. New York: Wiley, 1953.
- [2] R. W. Newcomb, "The foundations of network theory," *Trans. of the Institution of Engineers, Australia*, vol. EM-6, pp. 7-12, May 1964.
- [3] B. McMillan, "Introduction to formal realizability theory: I," *Bell Sys. Tech. J.*, vol. 31, pp. 217-279, March 1952.
- [4] R. W. Newcomb, "On the definition of a network," *Proc. IEEE (Correspondence)*, vol. 53, pp. 547-548, May 1965.
- [5] D. A. Spaulding and R. W. Newcomb, "The time-variable scattering matrix," *Proc. IEEE (Correspondence)*, vol. 53, pp. 651-652, June 1965.
- [6] L. Schwartz, "Théorie des noyaux," in *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians*, Cambridge, Mass.: 1950, pp. 220-230.
- [7] C. G. Montgomery, R. H. Dicke, and E. M. Purcell, *Principles of Microwave Circuits*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1948.
- [8] S. Ramo and J. R. Whinnery, *Fields and Waves in Modern Radio*, 2nd ed. New York: Wiley, 1953.
- [9] W. J. Welch, "Reciprocity theorems for electromagnetic fields whose time dependence is arbitrary," *IRE Trans. on Antennas and Propagation*, vol. AP-8, pp. 68-73, January 1960.
- [10] H. B. G. Casimir, "Reciprocity theorems and irreversible processes," *Proc. IEEE*, vol. 51, pp. 1570-1573, November 1963.
- [11] S. R. DeGroot, *Thermodynamics of Irreversible Processes*. Amsterdam: North-Holland, 1951, p. 242.