Chapter 1:

1.2) Based entirely on the information given and not making any "realistic" assumptions:
\$200,000,000 divided by 100,000 simultaneous calls (think of each call as a "line") gives:
\$200/line. The line can take 20 three minute calls/hour so 480 calls/day so
480x360x15 = 2592000 calls per lifetime of the satellite. So each call costs: \$200/2592000 or .0077 cents. (note this naively assumes that each line will be used continuously )

1.3) The illumination at 40000km for a 30W source will be

 $30/[4*\pi^{*}(40000)^{2}] = 1.492 \times 10^{-9} \text{W/km}^{2} = 1.492 \times 10^{-15} \text{W/m}^{2}$ 

- a) So a  $1 \text{ m}^2$  antenna will receive  $1.492 \text{x} 10^{-15} \text{ W}$
- b) If the Tx antenna has a linear gain of 1000, then the received signal will be  $1.492 \times 10^{-12}$  W

1.4) 1000W x 100,000 antenna gain gives an EIRP of 100,000,000 W = 80 dBW In linear: 40000km=40,000,000m so the received power will be 100,000,000\*  $(1//[4*\pi*(4000000)^2]*(.5)=2.4868x10^{-9}W = -86 dBW$ In dB: illumination= 80 -20log(40000)-71=-83dbW/m<sup>2</sup>. -83 + 10log(.5) = -86 dBW

1.5) 1000W & an antenna gain of 1000 at 1000km =1,000,000m will have an illumination of  $1000*1000/[4*\pi*(1,000,000)^2]$ = 7.9577x10<sup>-8</sup> W/m<sup>2</sup>.

- a) Thinking of the balloon as a 30m diameter circle means it will reflect:  $7.9577 \times 10^{-8} \text{ W/m}^2 * \pi^* (30/2)^2 \text{ m}^2 = .00005625 \text{ W}$
- b) Now .00005625 W radiating isotropically will illuminate the ground 1000km =1000000m away at  $.00005625/[4*\pi*(1,000,000)^2] = 4.476 \times 10^{-18} \text{ W/m}^2$ . For a 10 m<sup>2</sup> antenna, you will get  $4.476 \times 10^{-17} \text{ W}$

1.6) FSL =  $(4*\pi*40,000,000/0.05)^2 = 1.01*10^{20}$  This is what you would need to divide by. As a multiplier you get  $9.89*10^{-21}$ . NOTE: you must covert the distance to meters since the wavelength is in meters.

1.7) A 1.5m dish collects  $10^{-10}$  W. The dish area is  $(0.75)^{2*} \pi = 1.767m^2$ . The efficiency is 0.55, so the effective area =  $1.767*0.55=0.97185m^2$  So the illumination must have been  $10^{-10}$  W / 0.97185m<sup>2</sup> =  $1.029x10^{-10}$  W/m<sup>2</sup>

Chapter 2:

2.12) 10= 10dB, 12.5= 10.97dB, 15=11.76dB, 16=12.04dB Total= 44.77 dB = 29991.6 factor ≈ 30000

2.13)

| ТХ        | 100W     | 20 dBW      |
|-----------|----------|-------------|
| Waveguide | 1/2      | -3 dB       |
| Antenna   | 2000     | 33 db (dbi) |
| EIRP      | 100,000W | 50 dBW      |

# 2.14)

This problem can be done in dB or in linear. I will do linear since it seems easier (to me...) 23dBi antenna is a factor of 200. So EIRP is 700\*200=140000W. The power captured by the satellite will be the illumination times the antenna area, that is:

 $[140000/(4*\pi*[40,000,000]^2)] * [3.5]=2.437*10^{-11} W = -106.`13dBW$ 

Maybe it would have been easier in dB.... 2.15) Reverse link budget! Pt +Gt – FSL + Gr = Pr so Pt = Pr – Gt + FSL – Gr Pr = -100 dBWGt = 20 dBi FSL = 20log(40000) + 20log(f) +92.45 = 184.49 + 20log(f) dB (we don't know f, but that's ok....) For Gr we need the diameter D =  $2^*$  sqrt  $(1.5/\pi)$ = 1.382m Gr = 20log(1.382) + 20log(f) +10log(.60) + 20.4= 20.99 +20log(f) dB So: Pr= -100 - 20 + 184.49 +20log(f) - 20.99 -20log(f) = 43.5 dBW = 22387W 2.16) G = 20log(7.5) + 20log(6) +10log(.55) + 20.4 = 50.868 dBi 2.18) Pr = EIRP - FSL + GrEIRP = 40 dBW, Gr=40 dBi FSL = 20log(40000) + 20log(10) + 92.45=204.49 dB Pr = 40 - 204.49 + 40 = -124.49 dBW 2.19) a) W = EIRP -20log(S) -71 = 30dBW - 20log(40000) -71 = -133.04 dBW/m<sup>2</sup> b) Ae =  $(.55)^* \pi^*(3)^2/4 = 3.8878m^2$ c) Converting eqn 2.25 to db gives: Pr = W + 10log(Ae) = -133.04 + 5.9 = -127.14 dBW 2.20)  $G/T = 25 - 10\log(125) = 4.03 \text{ dB/K}$ 2.21) C/T = EIRP – PL + Gr/TGr/T = 40 - 10log(100) = 20C/T = 30 - 200 + 20 = -150 dBW/K2.22) C/N = C/T + 228.6 - 10log(BW) =-178.6 + 228.6 - 30 = 20 dB 2.23) C/N = EIRP - PL + Gr/T + 228.6 - 10log(BW)15 = 40 - 204 + Gr/T + 228.6 - 73 = Gr/T - 8.4Gr/T = 23.4 dBi/K2.24) Gt = 20log(12) + 20log(18) +10log(.55) +20.4 = 64.49 dBi FSL = 20log(40000) + 20log(18) + 92.45 = 209.602046/0/

| IX                   | 100 W                | 20dBW             |
|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| Gt                   | 12m ant η=.55, 18GHz | 64.49 dBi         |
| EIRP                 |                      | 84.49 dBW         |
| FSL                  | 40000km 18GHz        | –209.6 dB         |
| RSL (before antenna) |                      | –125.11 dBW       |
| Gr/T                 | 9.5 dBi/K            | 9.5 dBi/K         |
| C/T                  |                      | –115.61 dBW/K     |
| 1/k                  | 228.6 dBHzK/W        | 228.6 dBHzK/W     |
| C/kT                 |                      | 112.99 dBHz (118) |

## 2.25) FSL = 20log(40000) + 20log(3.9) + 92.45 = 196.31 dB

| Sat EIRP       | 23 dBW        | 23 dBW        |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|
| FSL            | -196.31       | -196.31 dB    |
| RSL            |               | –173.31 dBW   |
| Gr/T           | 29 dBi/K      | 29 dBi/K      |
| C/T            |               | -144.31 dBW/K |
| 1/k            | 228.6 dBHzK/W | 228.6 dBHzK/W |
| $C/KT = C/N_0$ |               | 84.29 dBHz    |
| BW             | 40 MHz        | -76.02 dBHz   |
| C/N            |               | 8.27 dB       |

2.30)

|                  | Value                    | Unit  | Value (dB) | Unit (dB) |
|------------------|--------------------------|-------|------------|-----------|
| Satellite EIRP   | 1000                     | Watts | 30         | dBW       |
| Path loss        | 10 <sup>-20</sup>        | Ratio | 200        | dB        |
| Antenna Gain Gr  | 200                      | Ratio | 23         | dBi       |
| RSL C            | 2x10 <sup>-15</sup>      | Watts | -147       | dBW       |
| Noise Temp T     | 100                      | К     | 20         | dBK       |
| F.O.M G/T        | 2                        | 1/K   | 3          | dBi/K     |
| C/T              | 2x10 <sup>-17</sup>      | W/K   | -167       | dBW/K     |
| Boltzmann's k    | 1.3806x10 <sup>-23</sup> | W/HzK | -228.6     | dBW/HzK   |
| C/N <sub>0</sub> | 1531393                  | Hz    | 61.7       | dBHz      |
| Bandwidth        | 1000000                  | Hz    | 60         | dBHz      |
| C/N              | 1.531                    | Ratio | 1.7        | dB        |

## Chapter 3:

3.1) Assuming the satellite velocity is 0 when it is at 30E, it will drift further East (accelerating) passing 75E (45 degrees away) and continue (decelerating, another 45 degrees) to 120E at which point it will be at 0 velocity again and start drifting west. NB. The period of oscillation is 3 years (see page 75) so the satellite has enough time to reach 120E and return to 30E. Ans: 120E

3.3)a) GEOb) geosyncc) GEOd) neither?

3.5) 27.3 days = 2352277.2 seconds.  $P=2\pi r^{3/2} \mu^{1/2}$  so  $r = \mu^{1/3} (P/2\pi)^{2/3} = 382283 \text{ km}$ 

3.6)

a) 60 km altitude means 1800km radius.  $P=2\pi r^{3/2}/\mu^{1/2}=2\pi (1800)^{3/2}/(4902.78)^{1/2}=6852.8$  seconds b) As in 3.5 above,  $r = \mu^{1/3} (P/2\pi)^{2/3} = (4902.78)^{1/3} (2352277/2\pi)^{2/3} = 88244$  km. NB I used a sidereal day here. An argument could be made for using a 24 hr day.

3.8) We model the orbital slot as a rectangular prism (i.e. a box). The difference between apogee and perigee gives one dimension: 30km. The north-south dimension is 2\*74=148 km. For east-west, the distance is 2\*0.1 degrees = 0.2 degrees. At GEO orbit r=42164, so 0.2 degrees =>  $(0.2/360)*(2\pi*42164)=147.2$  km.

a) volume = 147.2\*30\*148 =653479.8 km<sup>3</sup>

b) Each satellite occupies  $14m^3 = .000000014km^3$  which is  $2.14x10^{-14}$  part of the volume or  $2.14x10^{-12}$  percent.

NB: The probability of collision is clearly ridiculously small (your chance of winning \$100M in the Powerball lottery is 1000 times better!) The actual probability of collision is a little tricky to compute. If we assume the satellites are spherical and that a collision occurs whenever one satellite is "tangent" to the other (or closer) then the centers of the two satellites would need to be separated by < twice the satellite radius. Doubling the radius increases the volume by a factor of 8. Thus the probability of a collision would be the probability that the center of one satellite fell within 8 times the proportion of the area occupied by the other satellite, or  $1.7 \times 10^{-13}$  .... Still ridiculously small.

3.9)We note that to solve this problem we must know the radius of the satellite orbit. In this case (and as is often the case) we are talking about geostationary orbit (otherwise you would not be able to solve part a) with table 3.4 ....)

b) we have S=38000 and r=42164 so we can use eqn 3.10 to find

 $\beta_0$ =arccos[ (42164<sup>2</sup> +6378<sup>2</sup> -38000<sup>2</sup>)/(2\*(42164)(6378) )]= arccos(0.6962647)=45.8719 degrees c)We are going along earth's surface by 45.8719 degrees: distance = 2 $\pi$ (6378)(45.8719/360) =5106.33km

3.13) Using eqns in fig 3.9: 40W = 320E, so  $\Delta\lambda$  = 320 -0 = 320. cos( $\beta_0$ )= cos(50)cos(320)=0.4924038765 tan(h) = (0.4924038765 - 0.15126648)/.870366832 = .39194668, so h=21.40253146

| sat long | 320       |     |          |          |        |          |     |          |           |           |
|----------|-----------|-----|----------|----------|--------|----------|-----|----------|-----------|-----------|
|          |           |     |          |          |        |          |     |          |           |           |
| ES lat N | ES long E | Δλ  | beta0    | tan(h)   | h      | tan(A)   | sgn | A (atan) | Correct A | A (atan2) |
| 12       | 260       | 60  | 60.72028 | 0.387286 | 21.171 | -8.3307  | -1  | -83.1551 | 96.84491  | 96.84491  |
| 40       | 15        | 305 | 63.93535 | 0.320738 | 17.783 | 2.2218   | -1  | 65.76822 | 245.7682  | -114.232  |
| -40      | 260       | 60  | 67.47899 | 0.250889 | 14.084 | 2.69459  | 1   | 69.63943 | 69.63943  | 69.63943  |
| 0        | 320       | 0   | 0        | infinity | 90     | undef 0/ | 0   | 0        | 0         | 0         |
|          |           |     |          |          |        |          |     |          |           |           |
|          |           |     |          |          |        |          |     |          |           |           |
|          |           |     |          |          |        |          |     |          |           |           |

3.14)

NOTE: the last case, the ES is at the subsatellite point and the satellite is directly overhead. Thus: h=90 and A is irrelevant/undefined (any azimuth will get you to the same place).

3.15) 120W= 240E. ES is at 39N, 283E, so  $\Delta\lambda$  = 240 - 283= - 43, cos( $\beta_0$ )= cos(39)cos(-43)=0.5 683685756 tan(h) = (0.5 683685756- 0.15126648)/.822774065 = .50694608645, so h=26.88255 tan(A) = sin(-43)/(-sin(39)cos(-43)) = -0.68199836 /-0.42919547 = 1.589015729 so using arctan we get A= 57.8169559 degrees. BUT THIS DOESN'T MAKE SENSE (think about it). Since the denominator was negative we must add 180 degrees so the correct A =237.8169559 = -122.1830444 which does make sense.

3.16) 57W = 303E. So  $\Delta \lambda$  = 303 – 283= 20, cos( $\beta_0$ ) = cos(39)cos(-20)=0.730278325,

a) β<sub>0</sub>= 43.09026788

b)I'm not sure what the hint is about, but eqn 3.7 gives:  $S=(42164^2 + 6378^2 - 2*(6378)(42164)(0.730278325))^{1/2}= 37758.5219 \text{ km}$ d)tan(h) = (0.730278325 - 0.15126648)/.68314974 = .847562124, so h=40.2833475 tan(A) = sin(20)/(-sin(39)cos(20))= 0.34202014 /-0.59136773 = -.57835442716. arctan gives -30.04313174 but the denominator above is negative so we add 180 and get A= 149.956868

|           |   |  | $\cos \beta_0 = \cos \theta_0$  | s(φ)cos(Δλ)   |   | $tan(h) = (cos\beta_0 - Re/r)/sin(\beta_0)$   |   |   |   |
|-----------|---|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ES long E | geo lat N   | geo long E   | Δλ  | geo r   | $\cos \beta_0$  | βο  | tan(h)  | h   |   |
| 300       | 0   | 300  | 0   | 42164   | 1   | 0   | inf   | 90  |   |
| 300       | 0   | 300  | 0   | 42164   | 0.866025  | 30  | 1.429992  | 55.03473  |   |
| 300       | 0   | 300  | 0   | 42164   | 0.5   | 60  | 0.402957  | 21.9473   |   |
| 300       | 0   | 300  | 0   | 42164   | 0.087156  | 85  | -0.06412  | -3.66864  |   |
|           |   |  |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|           |   |  |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|           |   | $\cos \beta_0 = \sin \theta_0$   | φsin(δ) + c   | cos(δ)cos(d   | o)cos(Δλ)   | $tan(h) = (cos\beta_0 - Re/r)/sin(\beta_0)$   |   |   |   |
| ES long E | mol lat N   | mol long B   | Δλ  | mol r   | $\cos \beta_0$  | β <sub>0</sub>  | tan(h)  | h   |   |
| 300       | 62.9  | 300  | 0   | 45778   | 0.455545  | 62.9  | 0.355464  | 19.56848  |   |
| 300       | 62.9  | 300  | 0   | 45778   | 0.83962   | 32.9  | 1.289666  | 52.2102   |   |
| 300       | 62.9  | 300  | 0   | 45778   | 0.998719  | 2.9   | 16.99077  | 86.63172  |   |
| 300       | 62.9  | 300  | 0   | 45778   | 0.926529  | 22.1  | 2.09296   | 64.4619   |   |
|           |   |  |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|           |   |  |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|           | ES long E<br>300<br>300<br>300<br>300<br>300<br>300<br>300<br>300 | ES long E geo lat N<br>300 0<br>300 0<br>300 0<br>300 0<br>300 0<br>300 0<br>400 0<br>300 62.9<br>300 62.9<br>300 62.9<br>300 62.9 | ES long E       geo lat N       geo long E         300       0       300         300       0       300         300       0       300         300       0       300         300       0       300         300       0       300         300       0       300         300       0       300         300       0       300         300       0       300         Soor       62.9       300         300       62.9       300         300       62.9       300         300       62.9       300         300       62.9       300         300       62.9       300         300       62.9       300 | Image: second secon | Image: second secon | Image: state stat | Image: second seco | Image: Second secon | Image: height state in the state |

I added a column for 85N, 60W ES to illustrate that the earthstation can communicate with the Molniya but not the GEO

3.19(my favorite problem...):

100W = 260E. We need to find  $\Delta\lambda$  (to get the ES longitude). We also need ES latitude, Ø. We do know: r=42164, h=50, and A=229.59

We can get  $\beta_0$  from eqn 3.3:  $\beta_0$  = arccos[ (6378/42164)cos(50) ]– 50 = 34.4201884 Now:  $\cos(\beta_0)$ = 34.4201884 = $\cos(\Delta\lambda)\cos(\emptyset)$  We also have:  $\tan(A) = 1.17458961447 = \sin(\Delta\lambda)/(-\sin(\emptyset)\cos(\Delta\lambda))$ 

first island Columbus landed on when he discovered the New World.

This is essentially 2 equations with 2 unknowns. We can solve...  $\cos(\emptyset) = \cos(\beta_0)/\cos(\Delta\lambda)$ , so  $\sin(\emptyset) = (1 - \cos^2(\beta_0)/\cos^2(\Delta\lambda))^{1/2}$ , Also:  $\sin(\Delta\lambda) = (1 - \cos^2(\Delta\lambda))^{1/2}$ 

Thus, squaring the expression for tan(A) above we get:  $\tan^{2}(A) = (1 - \cos^{2}(\Delta \lambda))/[(1 - \cos^{2}(\beta_{0})/\cos^{2}(\Delta \lambda)) *\cos^{2}(\Delta \lambda) = (1 - \cos^{2}(\Delta \lambda))/(\cos^{2}(\Delta \lambda) - \cos^{2}(\beta_{0}))$ So  $\tan^{2}(A)*\cos^{2}(\Delta \lambda) - \tan^{2}(A)*\cos^{2}(\beta_{0}) = 1 - \cos^{2}(\Delta \lambda)$ So  $1 + \tan^{2}(A)*\cos^{2}(\beta_{0}) = \tan^{2}(A)*\cos^{2}(\Delta \lambda) + \cos^{2}(\Delta \lambda)$ So  $\cos^{2}(\Delta \lambda) = [1 + \tan^{2}(A)*\cos^{2}(\beta_{0})]/(\tan^{2}(A) + 1) = .814754596$  so  $\Delta \lambda = 25.4930395$  or -25.4930395Which one? Since A is pointing southwest (229 > 180) the earthstation must be further east than the satellite. So  $\Delta \lambda$  must be negative:  $\Delta \lambda = -25.4930395$ , so ES longitude is 285.493E=74.5069605W  $\cos(\emptyset) = \cos(\beta_{0})/\cos(\Delta \lambda) = 0.913893238$  so  $\emptyset = 23.950962N$ Consulting Google maps reveals you are on San Salvador Island, in the Bahamas. This is (supposedly) the

3.21: There is an error in this problem: 100W is not equal to 280E. Assuming it is really 100W, the satellite will oscillate from 100W to 116W. It will go there and back in 3 years. So in 1 year it should go (approximately 2/3 the way to 116 which would be approximately 110.6W.

In 10 (or 100) years, it will get to 116W.

3.22)

a) range variation  $\Delta S = +/-e^*r = .0000537^*42164 = 2.2642 \text{km}$ b) range rate dS/dt = +/- e\*r\*n= .0000537\*42164\*72.92115\*10<sup>-6</sup> = .0001651 km/s c) range variation =  $\Delta S = +/-i^*(\pi/180)(\text{Re})^*\sin(\emptyset) = (0.0291)^*(\pi/180)^*(6378.14)^*\sin(39)=2.03862 \text{ km}$ d) range rate dS/dt =+/- i\*( $\pi/180$ )(Re)\*sin( $\emptyset$ )n = 2.03862\*72.92115\*10<sup>-6</sup> = .000148659 km/s e) we need  $\Delta\lambda$  to compute eqn 3.40.  $\lambda_{es}$  is given as 283E but we need to look at problem 3.20 to get  $\lambda_{sat}$ =335.51E. Thus  $\Delta\lambda$ =52.51 and range rate dS/dt = D\*( $\pi/180$ )(Re)\*cos( $\emptyset$ )\*sin( $\Delta\lambda$ ) = (-.006)\* ( $\pi/180$ )\*(6378.14)\*cos(39)sin(52.51)= .-0.4118604 km/day =-.0000047669031 km/sec. Note that here "km/day" usually refers to a solar day: 24hours=86400sec not a sidereal day.

3.24)They do not specify if it's 1 way to the satellite, or one way Es through sat to Es One way: a) 0.1333 b) .01 c) 1.282633 seconds Two way: a) 0.2667 b) .02 c) 2.565267 seconds

Chapter 6

6.1b) G =  $20*\log(5) + 20*\log(4) + 10*\log(.55) + 20.4 = 13.979 + 12.041 - 2.596 + 20.4 = 43.824$  dBi 6.2b) G =  $20*\log(3) + 20*\log(6) + 10*\log(.55) + 20.4 = 9.542 + 15.563 - 2.596 + 20.4 = 42.909$  dBi

6.6) The half beam with is 0.5 degrees so  $\theta_3 = 1.0 = 21/(6*D)$ , so D= 21/6 = 3.5m

Chapter 7

7.1) 100W = 20 dBW. EIRP =  $P_t - L + G_t = 20 - 0 + 24 = 44 \text{ dBW}$ 

7.4) 1000W = 30 dBW. Input to antenna =  $P_t - L = 30 - .5 = 29.5$  dBW. EIRP =  $P_t - L + G_t = 30 - 0.5 + 52 = 81.5$  dBW

7.6)

| P (W) | P (dBW)  | Loss | Ant Gain | Ant. Input | EIRP     |  |
|-------|----------|------|----------|------------|----------|--|
| 750   | 28.75061 | 0.2  | 52.1     | 28.550613  | 80.65061 |  |
| 400   | 26.0206  | 0.4  | 49.5     | 25.6206    | 75.1206  |  |
| 1250  | 30.9691  | 0.3  | 58.7     | 30.6691    | 89.3691  |  |
| 700   | 28.45098 | 0.5  | 47.8     | 27.95098   | 75.75098 |  |

7.7)

| P (W) | P (dBW) | Backoff | Line loss | Ant Gain | Ant. Input | EIRP    |
|-------|---------|---------|-----------|----------|------------|---------|
| 10    | 10      | 7       | 1         | 30       | 2          | 32      |
| 20    | 13.0103 | 5       | 2         | 28       | 6.0103     | 34.0103 |
| 40    | 16.0206 | 4       | 1         | 35       | 11.0206    | 46.0206 |
| 20    | 13.0103 | 4       | 1.5       | 40       | 7.5103     | 47.5103 |

7.8) W = EIRP -  $20*\log(S) - 71 = 40 - 20*\log(40000) - 71 = 40 - 46 - 71 = -77 \text{ dBW/m}^2$ 

7.11) We assume the satellites are GEO (otherwise we can't solve the problem!): Separation angle  $\alpha = 359 - 325.5 = 33.5^{\circ}$ S = 2\* r<sub>g</sub>\* sin( $\alpha/2$ ) = 2\*42164\*sin(16.75) = 24303 km W = EIRP - 20\*log(S) -71 = 20 - 20\*log(24303) - 71 = -138.7 dBW/m<sup>2</sup> or if you like:

W = EIRP - 20\*log(sin( $\alpha$ /2)) - 169,5 = 20 + 10.8 - 169.5 = -138.7 dBW/m<sup>2</sup>

7.12) Assuming no other losses, EIRP =  $10*\log(30) + 20 = 34.77 \text{ dBW}$ W = EIRP -  $20*\log(\sin(\alpha/2)) - 169.5 = 34.77 + 10*\log(\sin(60)) - 169.5 = 34.77 + 1.25 - 169.5 = -133.48 \text{ dBW/ m}^2$ 

Chapter 8

8.1) Since we are not given enough info to compute based on rain rate, we must use figure 8.5: Reading the D2 line at 0.01% gives ~ 12 dB of loss

8.4) From fig 8.4b 50mm/hr in region D2 gives an outage % of ~0.01%. From fig 8.5 0.01% in region D2 gives ~11 dB of loss.

8.5) From fig 8.4a 25mm/hr in region C gives and outage % of ~0.015%. From fig 8.5, 0.015% in region C gives ~5 dB of loss

8.6) From fig 8.4b 50mm/hr in region D2 gives an outage of ~0.01%. There are 24\*365=8760 hrs/year

.0001\*8760=.876hrs = 52.56 minutes. The availability is 100 - .01 = 99.99%

8.8)At 4.2Ghz, 40>25=b so the ITU limit is - 142 dBW/m<sup>2</sup>

8.9) At 11.2 GHz, a=5 < 15 < 25=b so ITU limit is given by  $-150 + (h-5)/2 = -150 + (15-5)/2 = -145 \text{ dBW/m}^2$ 

8.10) We assume this is a GEO satellite (or we can't solve the problem....) a) for clear sky, we take L=0 dB so illumination at edge of coverage is given by W = EIRP - L - 163.4 = 40 - 163.4 = - 123.4 dBW/m<sup>2</sup> b) for 99.99% reliability, outage will be 0.01%. "Temperate Maritime" = region C. From figure 8.5, 0.01% at 12GHz gives ~ 7dB of loss. So illumination at edge is given by W = EIRP - L - 163.4 = 40 - 7 - 163.4 = - 130.4 dBW/m<sup>2</sup>

8.11) Bandwidth is B=50MHz, and  $B_{CCIR}$ =4000Hz so 10\*log(50,000,000/4000)= 40.97 dB a) For clear sky: PFD = W - 10\*log(B/  $B_{CCIR}$ ) = - 123.4 - 40.97 = - 164.37 dBW/m<sup>2</sup> in 4KHz Bw b) For rain : PFD = W - 10\*log(B/  $B_{CCIR}$ ) = - 130.4 - 40.97 = - 171.37 dBW/m<sup>2</sup> in 4KHz Bw

# Chapter 9

9.1) Short answer: In the free space loss term,  $20*\log(2*f) = 20*\log(f) + 6$ , so doubling frequency increases free space loss by 6 dB.

Longer answer: In addition to FSL increase above, this will also increase the losses due to rain (for the uplink and downlink). See figure 8.1: at 9GHz rain is insignificant. At 18GHz, rain is very significant. It will also increase sky noise due to rain in the downlink: at 9GHz the sky noise will not substantially increase in heavy rain (since the attenuator will be small for 9GHz rain) but at 18GHz, sky noise could increase substantially

9.2) from 9.1 above, doubling frequency adds 6 dB. To get from 6GHz to 24GHz we need to double the frequency twice. Thus we add 12 dB to 200dB and get 212 dB

9.4) At 35788, FSL = 20\*log(35788) + 20\*log(10) + 92.45 = 91.07 +112.45 = 203.51 dB At 41679, FSL= 20\*log(41679) + 20\*log(10) +92.45 = 92.40 + 112.45 = 204.85 Difference is 1.34 dB. Since 35788 is the altitude of a GEO ( and, hence the shortest distance from earth to the satellite), AND 41679 is the edge of coverage distance (and, hence the longest distance from earth to the satellite), We have that the path loss cannot vary by more than 1.34 dB regardless of where the earth station is (as long as it is visible to the satellite and we are in clear sky conditions). NOTE NOTE NOTE: This difference is the same REGARDLESS of frequency: you will get the same answer if you change the 10 GHz to any other value.

9.6)This problem is not solvable as stated: they don't give specs for the satellite Rx antenna and the earthstation antenna info is not needed since the EIRP is given. So... let's assume the antenna described is on the satellite. Then

C = EIRP –L –FSL +G

EIRP = 94 dBW and L = 1 dB

C-band means they are using the 6GHz band for uplink and the 4GHz band for downlink. We approximate by using 6GHz as the uplink frequency (the true value is between 5.7 and 6.3 GHz roughly) FSL = 20\*log(35788) + 20\*log(6) + 92.45 = 199.09

 $G = 20*\log(30) + 20*\log(6) + 10*\log(0.55) + 20.4 = 29.54 + 15.56 - 2.60 + 20.4 = 62.91$ Thus C = 94 - 1 - 199.9 + 62.91 = -43.18 dBW

NOTE: you should get the same answer if you assume that we are computing the power received at the earthstation if it is using the 30m antenna described and the satellite is transmitting at an EIRP of 94dBW. Note however that you would need to use 4GHz as the frequency in both the FSL and Gain equations but the differences would cancel out...

9.7) You can use eqn 9.9.... or you could derive the value: 
$$\begin{split} &S=2^*r_g^*sin(\alpha/2)\\ &L=20^*log(f)+20^*log(2^*r_g^*sin(\alpha/2))+92.45=20^*log(f)+20^*log(2^*42164)+20^*log(sin(\alpha/2))+92.45=20^*log(23)+20^*log(sin(0.01/2))+190.97=27.23-81.18+190.97=137.02 \ dB \end{split}$$

9.10) in linear:  $G_{\text{linear}} = 4\pi A\eta / \lambda^2 = A\eta * G_{1m}^2$  so the ratio will be A $\eta$  in linear. A $\eta = \pi (15/2)^2 (.55) = 97.19 = 19.88 \text{ dB}$ 

9.11) W = EIRP –  $20*\log(S)$  -71 = 40 –  $20*\log(40000)$  – 71 = – 123.04 dBW/m<sup>2</sup>. The Rx antenna information (diameter and efficiency) is superfluous.

9.12) From eqn 9.9 ( or the derivation above in exercise 9.7) L=  $20*\log(f) + 20*\log(\sin(\alpha/2) + 190.97) = 20*\log(20) + 20*\log(\sin(60/2)) + 190.97 = 210.97$ 

9.13) In linear N=kBT. So in db: N = -228.6 + 10\*log(B) + 10\*log(T)For 10 kHz: N= - 228.6 + 40 + 20 = -168.6 dBW For 10 MHz: N= - 228.6 + 70 + 20 = - 138.6 dBW. There is a 30 dB difference.

### 9.14)

NF = 1.5 dB means the linear noise figure F=1.4125 =1+  $T_{RX}/T_R$ So  $T_{RX}$  = 290 \* (.4125) = 119.6K. so the LNA with the 100K noise temperature is better.

9.17) For each G/T, we look at each LNA and compute the required antenna area. From there we get the cost of the antenna and LNA combination. We are given the frequency f=4GHz and we assume the efficiency is 0.55. We compute as follows:

For a given G/T and a given LNA, compute the required antenna gain to get that G/T:  $G = G/T - 10^*\log T$ . Next compute the required diameter of the antenna:  $20^*\log(D) = G - 20^*\log(f) - 10^*\log(.55) - 20.4$ Next compute the area as  $A = \pi^*D^2/4$ . We get the total cost as  $A^*$ \$100 + cost of LNA:

| G/T   | 20    |      | freq        | 4        |          | efficiency | 0.55       |
|-------|-------|------|-------------|----------|----------|------------|------------|
|       | Cost  | Temp | Required G  | 20log(D) | D        | Area       | Total Cost |
| LNA 1 | \$200 | 30   | 34.77121255 | 4.926386 | 1.763272 | 2.441903   | \$444.19   |
| LNA 2 | \$100 | 35   | 35.44068044 | 5.595854 | 1.904551 | 2.848887   | \$384.89   |
| LNA 3 | \$50  | 60   | 37.7815125  | 7.936686 | 2.493643 | 4.883807   | \$538.38   |
|       |       |      |             |          |          |            |            |
| G/T   | 35    |      |             |          |          |            |            |
|       | Cost  | Temp | Required G  | 20log(D) | D        | Area       | Total Cost |
| LNA 1 | \$200 | 30   | 49.77121255 | 19.92639 | 9.915607 | 77.21976   | \$7,921.98 |
| LNA 2 | \$100 | 35   | 50.44068044 | 20.59585 | 10.71008 | 90.08972   | \$9,108.97 |

LNA 3 \$50 60 52.7815125 22.93669 14.02279 154.4395 \$15,493.95Note the best choice for G/T=20 dB is the 35K LNA but the best choice for G/T=35 is the 30K LNA For fun: can you find a G/T for which the 60K LNA will be the best choice?

9.18) This problem is similar to 9.17 however we can't know the skynoise value before we solve the problem. Thus we must solve it with both values of skynoise and then choose the one that applies. The calculations will be the same as 9.17 except now to compute the required gain we take  $G = G/T + 10^{*}\log(T + skynoise)$ 

| -         | •••   | • •    |            |          |       |        |            |
|-----------|-------|--------|------------|----------|-------|--------|------------|
| G/T       | 25    |        | freq       | 10       |       | eff    | 0.7        |
| sky noise | 30    |        |            |          |       |        |            |
|           | Cost  | Temp T | Required G | 20log(D) | D     | Area   | Total Cost |
| LNA 1     | \$100 | 100    | 46.139434  | 7.288453 | 2.314 | 4.2066 | \$520.66   |
| LNA 2     | \$75  | 150    | 47.552725  | 8.701745 | 2.723 | 5.8246 | \$657.46   |
| LNA 3     | \$30  | 170    | 48.0103    | 9.15932  | 2.871 | 6.4718 | \$677.18   |
|           |       |        |            |          |       |        |            |
| sky noise | 50    |        |            |          |       |        |            |
| LNA 1     | \$100 | 100    | 46.760913  | 7.909932 | 2.486 | 4.8538 | \$585.38   |
| LNA 2     | \$75  | 150    | 48.0103    | 9.15932  | 2.871 | 6.4718 | \$722.18   |
| LNA 3     | \$30  | 170    | 48.424227  | 9.573246 | 3.011 | 7.1189 | \$741.89   |
|           |       |        |            |          |       |        |            |

Since all the antenna diameters are >1m the sky noise will be 30K. The best case is the 100K LNA.

9.19) The noise temperature of the receiver affects all the beams, so G/T = 50 dBi - 30 dBK = 20 dBi/K.

### Chapter 10

10.1) C/N for UL = 28 dB = 631 in linear. C/N for the DL = 15 dB = 31.6 in linear. C/N total = 1/(1/631 + 1/31.6) = 30.11 = 14.78 dB (answer c). NB if you are clever, you could have guessed c correctly by noticing that a and d are bigger than the DL C/N hence ridiculous, and then noticing that 28 is 13 dB better than 15, hence the UL will only degrade the value below the DL by about 5% in linear... not much :-)

10.3) Lets compute the orbital parameters first, then deal with the RF. We need the elevation angle (because it's requested) AND the distance S (to get the FSL requested). Sat longitude = 330E ES longitude = 12 E.  $\Delta\lambda$  = 330 – 12 = 318 degrees. ES longitude = 59N. So  $\cos(\beta_0) = \cos(318) \cos(59) = 0.387247$ , so  $\beta_0 = 67.496$  degrees. So h = atan( $\cos(\beta_0) - R_e/r$ )/ $\sin(\beta_0)$ ) = atan(0.25056) = 14.066 degrees. S =  $(R_e^2 + r^2 - 2^*R_e^*r^*\cos(\beta_0))^{1/2}$  =40157.479 km

Now:

5000W = 37 dBW is the power output of the Tx. The gain of the Tx antenna is  $G = 20^{\circ}\log(6) + 20^{\circ}\log(18) + 10^{\circ}\log(.6) + 20.4 = 58.85$  dBi So: EIRP = 37 - 2 + 58.85= 93.85 dBW. NB they are careful to distinguish between waveguide losses (that count toward the EIRP) and path losses (that do not affect EIRP but will decrease C/T). FSL = 20^{\circ}log(6) + 20^{\circ}log(40157.479) +92.45 = 200.09 dB So RSL before the receive antenna = EIRP - FSL -L = 58.85 - 200.09 - 3 = -144.24 dBW So C/T = -144.24 - 8.6 = -152.84 dBW/K The antenna beamwidth is give as 21/(fD) = 21/(18\*6) = 0.194 degrees 10.5) As with 10.3 above we do the orbital mechanics first and the RF second. Sat long = 330E, ES long = 12E, ES lat = 32N. So  $\Delta\lambda = 330 - 12 = 318$  degrees. So  $\cos(\beta_0) = \cos(318)*\cos(32) = 0.387247$ , so  $\beta_0 = 50.933$  degrees. So h = atan( $\cos(\beta_0) - R_e/r$ )/ $\sin(\beta_0)$ ) = atan(0.61688) = 31.66968 degrees. S = ( $R_e^2 + r^2 - 2*R_e*r*\cos(\beta_0)$ )<sup>1/2</sup> = 38464.534 km

Now FSL =  $20*\log(4) + 20*\log(38464.534) + 92.45 = 196.19$  dB Actual EIRP = 31 - 8 = 23 dBW So C/T = EIRP - FSL - L + G/T = 23 - 196.19 - 3 - 8.6 = -184.79 dBW/K C/N<sub>0</sub> = -184.79 + 228.6 = 43.81 dBHz

10.6) W at edge of coverage will be given by  $W = EIRP - L - 20*\log(42164) - 71$  which doesn't change with frequency. So:

|           |        |           |          | sub   |            |
|-----------|--------|-----------|----------|-------|------------|
| frequency | edge S | FSL edge  | W edge   | sat   | FSL subsat |
| 6         | 41679  | 200.41137 | -80.3983 | 35786 | 199.08729  |
| 14        | 41679  | 207.77091 | -80.3983 | 35786 | 206.44682  |

10.7) This is a little tricky since 325.5E - 60E = 265.5 degrees. But this is silly since we want to go the other way around the earth. So 325.5E = -34.5E. Now 60 - (-34.5) = 94.5 degrees which makes more sense. Now from table 10.3:

$$\begin{split} \text{FSL} &= 20^* \log(\sin(\alpha/2)) + 20^* \log(f) + 190.97 = 20^* \log(\sin(47.25)) + 20^* \log(30) + 190.97 = 217.86 \\ \text{W} &= \text{EIRP} - \text{L}_{\text{add}} - 20^* \log(\sin(\alpha/2)) - 169.52 = 25 - 20^* \log(\sin(47.25)) - 169.52 = -141.84 \end{split}$$

10.8) C/N = 20 dB = 100 linear. C/I = 15 dB = 31.62 linear. C/(N+I) = 1/ (0.01 + 1/31.62) = 1/(.04162) = 24.0253 linear = 13.81 dB

10.9)Intermod C/T values add like additional interference so the combined C/T value is given by C/T =  $10*\log(1/(10^{14.52}+10^{15.62}+10^{14.81})) = -157.71 \text{ dBW/K}$ 

10.10) In each case the UL C/T is at least 10 dB higher than the DL C/T (more than 20 dB in the first 3 columns). This means the comparatively there is very little noise in the UL so the total noise is dominated by the DL noise. Consider : if C/Td = X and C/Tu = 10 + C/Td = X + 10 then,  $10*\log(1/(10^{-x} + 10^{-x-10})) = 10*\log(1/(1.1*10^{-x})) = X - 10*\log(1.1) = X - 0.4$  dB which is not much of a change... (If there's a 20 dB difference between UL and DL, there will be only .04 dB in total C/T)

10.11)

a) C/N =  $10^{*}\log(1/(10^{-3.21}+10^{-3})) = 10^{*}\log(1/(0.001616595)) = 10^{*}\log(618.584) = 27.914 \text{ dB}$ b)  $-160.0 = 10^{*}\log(1/(10^{15.4}+10^{-X}))$  So:  $10^{-16} = 1/(10^{15.4}+10^{-X})$  So:  $10^{16} = 10^{15.4}+10^{-X}$ So:  $10^{-X} = 10^{16} - 10^{15.4} = 7.48811x 10^{15}$  so X =  $-158.74 = (C/T)_d$ c)C/N<sub>0</sub> = C/T + 228.6 = 228.6 -160 = 68.6 From Eqn 9.38:  $E_b/N_0 = C/N_0 - 10^{*}\log(R) = 68.6 - 50 = 18.6$ d)P =  $(1/2) \exp(-18.6) = 4.18x10^{-9}$  10.12) For this problem we run the link budget backwards:  $C/N_0 = E_b / N_0 + 10^* \log(R) = 5 + 10^* \log(125000) = 55.969 \text{ dBHz}$   $C/T = C/N_0 - 228.6 = -172.63$ FSL at max distance = 20\*log(3.8) + 20\*log(41679) + 92.45 = 196.44 EIRP = C/T + FSL - G/T = -172.63 + 196.44 - 22 = 1.814 dBW Assuming an efficiency of 0.55, the gain of the transmitting antenna is G = 20\*log(3.8) + 20\*log(.1) + 20\*log(.55) + 20.4 = 9.4 dBi So the transmitter power is PTx = 1.814 -9.4 = -7.585 dBW

10.13) Saying the satellite beam pattern is the same across the earth means that, effectively, every place on earth will see the same EIRP. At edge of coverage we have:

W = EIRP -  $20*\log(41679) - 71.0 = -143.0 \text{ dBW/m}^2$  Thus:

EIRP = 20.4 dBW. Now at the subsatellite point:

 $W = 20.4 - 20^{*}\log(35786) - 71.0 = -141.7 \text{ dBW/m}^{2}$  which is  $6.76 \times 10^{-15} \text{ W/m}^{2}$ 

So there is a difference of 1.3 dB between the illumination at the subsatellite point and at the edge of coverage. Therefore, I can use a lower gain antenna at the subsatellite point to get the same C/N The gain of the antenna at the subsatellite point can be 1.3 dB less than at the edge of coverage. G=  $20*\log(D) + 20*\log(f) + 10*\log(.6) + 20.4$ 

But assuming the antenna has the same efficiency and is operating at the same frequency, the only thing I'm changing is D. At the edge of coverage:

 $20*\log(D) = 20*\log(2) = 6$ 

So at the subsatellite point I only need:

20\*log(D) = 4.7

Thus: D = 1.718 m

10.16) If you use the narrowband transponders you can transmit at the saturated EIRP. If you use the broadband (72MHz) transponder, you will need to back off the power to avoid intermodulation between to two carriers. Thus the best solution is the 2 narrowband transponders

10.17) This is a 2 part problem: first you must see if you have enough bandwidth. Then you must see if you have enough power.

For bandwidth, you are currently consuming: 2x10 + 3x2 + 10x0.08 = 26.8 MHz out of 36 so you have 9.4 MHz left which is enough room for 4 additional 2MHz carriers.

For power: your available power is 37 - 7 = 30 dBW = 1000 W

The two 10MHz channels consume 15 dBW = 31.6 W each

The three 2MHz channels consume 20 dBW = 100 W each

The 10 80KHz channels consume 12 dBW = 15.8 W each

So the total is 2x31.6 + 3x100 + 10x15.8 = 521.2 W total so you have 478.8 W left

Which means you can power up to 4 additional 2MHz carriers.

NB: you have more than enough bandwidth to accommodate 100 more 80KHz channels, but only enough power for  $\sim$  30 or so. Also you have enough power to accommodate  $\sim$  15 of the 10MHz channels but not enough bandwidth for even one more!