## Lattice-Based Cryptography

Huijing Gong 10/21/2019

• Slides courtesy of Dana Dachman-Soled, Valeria Nikolaenko, Chris Peikert, and Oded Regev

### Traditional Crypto Assumptions

• Recall...

#### Traditional Crypto Assumptions

- Discrete Log: Given  $g^x \mod p$ , find x.
  - (Decisional) Diffie-Hellman Assumptions  $(g^x, g^y, g^{xy})$ ,  $(g^x, g^y, g^z)$
- More: Factoring

#### Are They Secure?

- Algorithmic Advances:
  - Factoring: Best algorithm time  $2^{\tilde{O}(n^{\frac{1}{3}})}$  to factor *n*-bit number.
  - Discrete log: Best algorithm  $2^{\tilde{O}(n^{\frac{1}{3}})}$  for groups  $Z_p^*$ , where p is n-bit.
- Quantum Computers:
  - Shor's algorithm solves both factoring and discrete log in quantum polynomial time ( $\tilde{O}(n^2)$ ).

#### Are They Secure?

"For those partners and vendors that have not yet made the transition to Suite B algorithms (ECC), we recommend not making a significant expenditure to do so at this point but instead to **prepare for the upcoming quantum resistant algorithm transition**.... Unfortunately, the growth of elliptic curve use has bumped up against the fact of continued progress in the research on quantum computing, necessitating a re-evaluation of our cryptographic strategy. "—NSA Statement, August 2015

NIST Kicks Off Effort	to Defend Encrypted Data f	rom Quantum
Computer Threat	Google Dabbles in Post-Quantum Cryptography	
April 28, 2016		
	By Richard Adhikari Jul 12, 2016 2:06 PM PT	🗟 Print 🔤 Email

#### Post-Quantum Approach

- Believed to be hard for quantum computers.
- Versatile: Can essentially construct all cryptosystems out of these assumptions.
- Candidates: Lattice-based Crypto, Hash-based Crypto, codebased, etc.

#### What's a Lattice?

• A periodic 'grid' in  $\mathbb{Z}^m$  (Formally: full-rank additive subgroup.)



#### What's a Lattice?

- A periodic 'grid' in  $\mathbb{Z}^m$  (Formally: full-rank additive subgroup.)
- Basis  $\mathbf{B} = \{\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_m\}$
- Lattice =  $\sum_{j=1}^{m} \mathbf{Z} \cdot \mathbf{b}_{j}$



#### What's a Lattice?

- A periodic 'grid' in  $\mathbb{Z}^m$  (Formally: full-rank additive subgroup.)
- Basis  $\mathbf{B} = \{\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_m\}$
- Lattice =  $\sum_{j=1}^{m} \mathbf{Z} \cdot \mathbf{b}_{j}$

(Other representations too . . .)



# Hard Lattice Problem: Learning With Errors (LWE) [Regev'05]

There is a secret vector s in  $Z_p^n$  (we'll use  $Z_{17}^4$  as a running example)

An oracle (who knows s) generates a random vector a in  $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$  and "small" noise element e in Z The oracle outputs (a b-da solo mod 17)

The oracle outputs (a,b=<a,s>+e mod 17)



This procedure is repeated with the same s and fresh a and e

There is a secret vector s in  $Z_p^n$  (we'll use  $Z_{17}^4$  as a running example) An oracle (who knows s) generates a random vector a in  $Z_p^n$  and "<u>small</u>" noise element e in Z.

The oracle outputs (a,b=<a,s>+e mod 17)



This procedure is repeated with the same s and fresh a and e

There is a secret vector **s** in  $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$  (we'll use  $\mathbb{Z}_{17}^4$  as a running example) An oracle (who knows **s**) generates a random vector **a** in  $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$  and "<u>small</u>" noise element **e** in Z.

The oracle outputs  $(a, b = \langle a, s \rangle + e \mod p)$ 



This procedure is repeated with the same s and fresh a and e

There is a secret vector s in  $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$  (we'll use  $\mathbb{Z}_{17}^4$  as a running example) An oracle (who knows s) generates a random vector a in  $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$  and "<u>small</u>" noise element e in Z.

The oracle outputs  $(a, b = \langle a, s \rangle + e \mod p)$ 



This procedure is repeated with the same s and fresh a and e

There is a secret vector **s** in  $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$  (we'll use  $\mathbb{Z}_{17}^4$  as a running example) An oracle (who knows **s**) generates a random vector **a** in  $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$  and "<u>small</u>" noise element **e** in Z.

The oracle outputs  $(a, b = \langle a, s \rangle + e \mod p)$ 



This procedure is repeated with the same s and fresh a and e



LWE Instance  
A, 
$$\vec{b} = A\vec{s} + \vec{e} \mod p$$

Once there are enough  $a_i$ , the s is uniquely determined

Theorem [Regev '05] : There is a polynomial-time quantum reduction from solving certain lattice problems in the worst-case to solving LWE.

### Decisional LWE Problem



### LWE is Versatile

- What kinds of crypto can we do with LWE?
  - Key Exchange, Public Key Encryption
  - Oblivious Transfer
  - Actively Secure Encryption (w/o random oracles)
  - Block Ciphers, Pseudorandom Functions
  - Identity-Based Encryption (w/RO)
  - Hierarchical ID-Based Encryption (w/o RO)
  - Fully Homomorphic Encryption
  - Attribute-Based Encryption for arbitrary policies
  - and much, much more. .



#### Nonsecret value in blue and secret value in red.

- 1. Client and Server agree on the algorithm parameters g and p
- 2. Client and Server generate their own private keys, named y and x, respectively
  - B. Server computes  $g^x$  and sends it to Client.
- 4. Client computes  $g^{\gamma}$  and sends it to Server.
- 5. Client computers  $(g^x)^y$  and uses it as its secret.
- 6. Server computers  $(g^{\gamma})^{x}$  and uses it as its secret.



Nonsecret value in blue and secret value in red.

- 1. Client and Server agree on the algorithm parameters g and p
- 2. Client and Server generate their own private keys, named *y* and *x*, respectively

. Server computes  $g^x$  and sends it to Client.

. Client computes  $g^{\gamma}$  and sends it to Server.

5. Client computers  $(g^x)^y$  and uses it as its secret.

6. Server computers  $(g^{\gamma})^{x}$  and uses it as its secret.



Nonsecret value in blue and secret value in red.

- 1. Client and Server agree on the algorithm parameters g and p
- 2. Client and Server generate their own private keys, named *y* and *x*, respectively
- 3. Server computes  $g^{x}$  and sends it to Client.
- 4. Client computes  $g^{\gamma}$  and sends it to Server.
- 5. Client computers  $(g^x)^y$  and uses it as its secret.
- 6. Server computers  $(g^{\gamma})^x$  and uses it as its secret.



Nonsecret value in blue and secret value in red.

- 1. Client and Server agree on the algorithm parameters g and p
- 2. Client and Server generate their own private keys, named *y* and *x*, respectively
- 3. Server computes  $g^{\chi}$  and sends it to Client.
- 4. Client computes  $g^{\gamma}$  and sends it to Server.
- 5. Client computers  $(g^{x})^{y}$  and uses it as its secret.
- 6. Server computers  $(g^{\gamma})^{x}$  and uses it as its secret.



Nonsecret value in blue and secret value in red.

- 1. Client and Server agree on the algorithm parameters g and p
- 2. Client and Server generate their own private keys, named *y* and *x*, respectively
- 3. Server computes  $g^{x}$  and sends it to Client.
- 4. Client computes  $g^{\gamma}$  and sends it to Server.
- 5. Client computers  $(g^{\chi})^{\gamma}$  and uses it as its secret.
- 6. Server computers  $(g^{\gamma})^{x}$  and uses it as its secret.

Slides modified from https://sites.google.com/site/valerianikolaenko/





[Pei14] C. Peikert. Lattice cryptography for the Internet. In Post-Quantum Cryptography. Springer, 2014 [DXL12] Ding, J., Xie, X., Lin, X. A Simple Provably Secure Key Exchange Scheme Based on the Learning with Errors Problem. *https://eprint.jacr.org/2012/688* 



LWE key exchange [DXL12]







Parameter A Client Server Choose Choose random random small small vectors x, e vectors *y*,*e*′

LWE key exchange [DXL12]







#### Diffie-Hellman key exchange Parameters *g*, p Client Server Choose Choose random random $\gamma$ g<sup>x</sup> X g<sup>y</sup> $g^{xy}$ Attacker sees $g, g^{x}, g^{y}$ ,

but cannot sees  $x, y, g^{xy}$ . In the view of attacker  $g^{xy}$  looks like random



#### Diffie-Hellman key exchange



#### LWE key exchange [DXL12]



### Key Exchange Implementation

- NewHope [ADPS'15]: Ring-LWE key exchange *a la* [LPR'10,P'14],
  - with many optimizations and conjectured  $\geq$  200-bit quantum security.
  - Comparable to or even faster than state-of-the-art ECDH w/ 128-bit (nonquantum) security.
  - Google has experimentally deployed NewHope+ECDH in Chrome canary and its own web servers.
- Frodo [BCDMNNRS'16]: Plain-LWE key exchange,
  - with many tricks and optimizations. Conjectured  $\geq$  128-bit quantum security.
  - About 10x slower than NewHope, but only ≈2x slower than ECDH

• Our goal:

Given a pair  $(A, \vec{b})$ , want to know whether it is generated as  $A, \vec{b} = A\vec{s} + \vec{e} \mod p$  OR  $A, \vec{b} = random$ 

- Dual Attack:
  - If we can find a <u>short</u> vector  $\vec{w}$  such that  $\vec{w} A \mod p = 0$ ,
  - Then compute inner product  $\langle \vec{w}, \vec{b} \rangle$

• Our goal:

Given a pair  $(A, \vec{b})$ , want to know whether it is generated as

 $A, \vec{b} = A\vec{s} + \vec{e} \mod p \qquad \text{OR} \qquad A, \vec{b} = random$  $\vec{w} \cdot \vec{b} \qquad \qquad \vec{w} \cdot \vec{b}$ 

• Our goal:

Given a pair  $(A, \vec{b})$ , want to know whether it is generated as  $A, \vec{b} = A\vec{s} + \vec{e} \mod p$  $A, \vec{b} = random$ OR  $\vec{w} \cdot \vec{b} = \vec{w}(A\vec{s} + \vec{e})$  $\vec{w} \cdot \vec{b}$ 

• Our goal:

Given a pair  $(A, \vec{b})$ , want to know whether it is generated as  $A, \vec{b} = random$  $A, \vec{b} = A\vec{s} + \vec{e} \mod p$ OR  $\vec{w} \cdot \vec{b}$  $\vec{w}\cdot\vec{b}$  $= \vec{w}(A\vec{s} + \vec{e})$  $= (\vec{w}A)\vec{s} + \vec{w} \cdot \vec{e}$ 

• Our goal:

Given a pair  $(A, \vec{b})$ , want to know whether it is generated as  $A, \vec{b} = random$  $A, \vec{b} = A\vec{s} + \vec{e} \mod p$ OR  $\vec{w} \cdot \vec{b}$  $\vec{w}\cdot\vec{b}$  $= \vec{w}(A\vec{s} + \vec{e})$  $= (\vec{w}A)\vec{s} + \vec{w}\cdot\vec{e}$  $= \vec{w} \cdot \vec{e}$ = small

• Our goal:

Given a pair  $(A, \vec{b})$ , want to know whether it is generated as  $A, \vec{b} = A\vec{s} + \vec{e} \mod p$  $A, \vec{b} = random$ OR  $\vec{w}\cdot\vec{h}$  $\vec{w} \cdot \vec{b}$  $= \vec{w}(A\vec{s} + \vec{e})$ = random $= (\vec{w}A)\vec{s} + \vec{w}\cdot\vec{e}$  $= \vec{w} \cdot \vec{e}$ = small

• Our goal:

Given a pair  $(A, \vec{b})$ , want to know whether it is generated as  $A, \vec{b} = random$  $A, \vec{b} = A\vec{s} + \vec{e} \mod p$ OR  $\vec{w} \cdot \vec{b}$  $\vec{w} \cdot \vec{b}$  $= \vec{w}(A\vec{s} + \vec{e})$ = random $= (\vec{w}A)\vec{s} + \vec{w}\cdot\vec{e}$  $= \vec{w} \cdot \vec{e}$ = small small

• Our goal:

Given a pair  $(A, \vec{b})$ , want to know whether it is generated as

 $A, \vec{b} = A\vec{s} + \vec{e} \mod p$  OR  $A, \vec{b} = random$ 

- If we can find a <u>short</u> vector  $\vec{w}$  such that  $w A \mod p = 0$ ,
- Then compute inner product  $\langle \vec{w}, \vec{b} \rangle$
- Wait...That sounds very easy to attack, why LWE is hard?

• Our goal:

Given a pair  $(A, \vec{b})$ , want to know whether it is generated as  $A, \vec{b} = A\vec{s} + \vec{e} \mod p$  OR  $A, \vec{b} = random$ 

• If we can find a <u>short</u> vector  $\vec{w}$  such that  $\vec{w} A \mod p = 0$ ,

- Then compute inner product  $\langle \vec{w}, \vec{b} \rangle$
- Wait...That sounds very easy to attack, why LWE is hard?

• For more...check

#### Short Integer Solution Problem!

### Thank You

#### Questions?

