

Introduction to Cryptology

Lecture 19

Announcements

- HW8 is up on course webpage, due 4/24
- Sign up for EC, Current Events EC

Agenda

- More Number Theory!

Time Complexity of Euclidean Algorithm

When finding $\gcd(a, b)$, the “ b ” value gets halved every two rounds.

Why?

Time complexity: $2\log(b)$.

This is polynomial in the length of the input.

Why?

Getting Back to Z_p^*

Group $Z_p^* = \{1, \dots, p - 1\}$ operation:
multiplication modulo p .

Order of a finite group is the number of elements in the group.

Order of Z_p^* is $p - 1$.

Fermat's Little Theorem

Theorem: For prime p , integer a :

$$a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}.$$

Useful Fact

Fact: For prime p and integers a, b , If $p \mid a \cdot b$ and $p \nmid a$, then $p \mid b$.

Corollary of Fermat's Little Theorem

Corollary: For prime p and a such that $(a, p) = 1$:

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

Proof:

- By Fermat's Little Theorem we have that $a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}$. By definition of modulo, this means that $p \mid (a^p - a)$. Rearranging, this implies that $p \mid a \cdot (a^{p-1} - 1)$.
- Now, since $\gcd(a, p) = 1$, we have that $p \nmid a$. Applying "useful fact" with $a = a$ and $b = (a^{p-1} - 1)$, we have that $p \mid (a^{p-1} - 1)$.
- Finally, by definition of modulo, we have that $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

Note: For prime p , $p - 1$ is the order of the group Z_p^* .

Generalized Theorem

Theorem: Let G be a finite group with $m = |G|$, the order of the group. Then for any element $g \in G$, $g^m = 1$.

Corollary of Fermat's Little Theorem is a special case of the above when G is the multiplicative group Z_p^* and p is prime.

Multiplicative Groups Mod N

- What about multiplicative groups modulo N , where N is composite?
- Which numbers $\{1, \dots, N - 1\}$ have multiplicative inverses *mod* N ?
 - a such that $\gcd(a, N) = 1$ has multiplicative inverse by Extended Euclidean Algorithm.
 - a such that $\gcd(a, N) > 1$ does not, since $\gcd(a, N)$ is the smallest positive integer that can be written in the form $Xa + YN$ for integer X, Y .
- Define $Z_N^* := \{a \in \{1, \dots, N - 1\} \mid \gcd(a, N) = 1\}$.
- Z_N^* is an abelian, multiplicative group.
 - Why does closure hold?

Order of Multiplicative Groups Mod N

- What is the order of Z_N^* ?
- This has a name. The order of Z_N^* is the quantity $\phi(N)$, where ϕ is known as the **Euler totient function** or **Euler phi function**.
- Assume $N = p \cdot q$, where p, q are distinct primes.
 - $\phi(N) = N - p - q + 1 = p \cdot q - p - 1 + 1 = (p - 1)(q - 1)$.
 - Why?

Order of Multiplicative Groups Mod N

General Formula:

Theorem: Let $N = \prod_i p_i^{e_i}$ where the $\{p_i\}$ are distinct primes and $e_i \geq 1$. Then

$$\phi(N) = \prod_i p_i^{e_i-1} (p_i - 1).$$

Another Special Case of Generalized Theorem

Corollary of generalized theorem:

For a such that $\gcd(a, N) = 1$:

$$a^{\phi(N)} \equiv 1 \pmod{N}.$$

Another Useful Theorem

Theorem: Let G be a finite group with $m = |G| > 1$. Then for any $g \in G$ and any integer x , we have

$$g^x = g^{x \bmod m}.$$

Proof: We write $x = a \cdot m + b$, where a is an integer and $b \equiv x \pmod{m}$.

- $g^x = g^{a \cdot m + b} = (g^m)^a \cdot g^b$
- By “generalized theorem” we have that $(g^m)^a \cdot g^b = 1^a \cdot g^b = g^b = g^{x \bmod m}$.

An Example:

Compute $3^{25} \pmod{35}$ by hand.

$$\phi(35) = \phi(5 \cdot 7) = (5 - 1)(7 - 1) = 24$$

$$3^{25} \equiv 3^{25 \pmod{24}} \pmod{35} \equiv 3^1 \pmod{35}$$

$$\equiv 3 \pmod{35}.$$

Background for RSA

Recall that we saw last time that

$$a^m \equiv a^{m \bmod \phi(N)} \bmod N.$$

For $e \in Z_N^*$, let $f_e: Z_N^* \rightarrow Z_N^*$ be defined as $f_e(x) := x^e \bmod N$.

Theorem: $f_e(x)$ is a permutation.

Proof: To prove the theorem, we show that $f_e(x)$ is invertible.

Let d be the multiplicative inverse of $e \bmod \phi(N)$.

Then for $y \in Z_N^*$, $f_d(y) := y^d \bmod N$ is the inverse of f_e .

To see this, we show that $f_d(f_e(x)) = x$.

$$f_d(f_e(x)) = (x^e)^d \bmod N = x^{e \cdot d} \bmod N = x^{e \cdot d \bmod \phi(N)} \bmod N = x^1 \bmod N = x \bmod N.$$

Note: Given d , it is easy to compute the inverse of f_e

However, we saw in the homework that given only e, N , it is hard to find d , since finding d implies that we can factor $N = p \cdot q$.

This will be important for cryptographic applications.