### Introduction to Cryptology

Lecture 6

#### Announcements

- Homework 2 due today
- Homework 3 will go out on Tuesday
- Homework 1 solutions and grades up on Canvas

## Agenda

- This time:
  - Finish limitations of perfect secrecy (2.3)
  - Computational Approach to Cryptography (3.1)
  - Defining Computationally Secure Encryption (3.2)
  - Constructing Secure Encryption Schemes (3.3)

### Limitations of Perfect Secrecy

Theorem: Let (Gen, Enc, Dec) be a perfectlysecret encryption scheme over a message space M, and let K be the key space as determined by Gen. Then  $|K| \ge |M|$ .

## Proof

Proof (by contradiction): We show that if |K| < |M| then the scheme cannot be perfectly secret.

- Assume |K| < |M|. Consider the uniform distribution over M and let  $c \in C$ .
- Let M(c) be the set of all possible messages which are possible decryptions of c.  $M(c) \coloneqq \{\widehat{\widehat{m}} \mid \widehat{m} = Dec_k(c) for some \ \widehat{k} \in K\}$

## Proof

 $\boldsymbol{M}(c) \coloneqq \{ \, \widehat{m} \mid \widehat{m} = Dec_k(c) for \, some \, \widehat{k} \in \boldsymbol{K} \}$ 

- $|\boldsymbol{M}(c)| \leq |\boldsymbol{K}|$ . Why?
- Since we assumed |K| < |M|, this means that there is some  $m' \in M$  such that  $m' \notin M(c)$ .
- But then

 $\Pr[M = m' | C = c] = 0 \neq \Pr[M = m']$ 

And so the scheme is not perfectly secret.

## Shannon's Theorem

Let (Gen, Enc, Dec) be an encryption scheme with message space M, for which |M| = |K| = |C|. The scheme is perfectly secret if and only if:

- 1. Every key  $k \in \mathbf{K}$  is chosen with equal probability  $1/|\mathbf{K}|$  by algorithm *Gen*.
- 2. For every  $m \in M$  and every  $c \in C$ , there exists a unique key  $k \in K$  such that  $Enc_k(m)$  outputs c.

\*\*Theorem only applies when |M| = |K| = |C|.

### Some Examples

- Is the following scheme perfectly secret?
- Message space *M* = {0,1,..., *n* − 1}. Key space *K* = {0,1,..., *n* − 1}.
- Gen() chooses a key k at random from K.
- $\operatorname{Enc}_k(m)$  returns m + k.
- $Dec_k(c)$  returns c k.

### Some Examples

- Is the following scheme perfectly secret?
- Message space *M* = {0,1,..., *n* − 1}. Key space *K* = {0,1,..., *n* − 1}.
- Gen() chooses a key k at random from K.
- $\operatorname{Enc}_k(m)$  returns  $m + k \mod n$ .
- $Dec_k(c)$  returns  $c k \mod n$ .

# The Computational Approach to Security

"An encryption scheme is secure if no adversary learns meaningful information about the plaintext after seeing the ciphertext"

How do you formalize learns meaningful information?

# The Computational Approach to Security

- Meaningful Information about plaintext m:
  - -f(m) for an efficiently computable function f
- Learn Meaningful Information from the ciphertext:
  - An efficient algorithm that can output f(m) after seeing c but could not output f(m) before seeing c.
- Learn Meaningful Information:
  - The change in probability that an efficient algorithm can output f(m) after seeing c and can output f(m)before seeing c is significant.

## Note:

- The intuitive definition from the previous slide is known as "semantic security."
- We will first see a different, simpler definition known as indistinguishability.
- Later we will see that the two definitions are provably equivalent.

## The Computational Approach

Two main relaxations:

- Security is only guaranteed against efficient adversaries that run for some feasible amount of time.
- 2. Adversaries can potentially succeed with some very small probability.

## **Security Parameter**

- Integer valued security parameter denoted by n that parameterizes both the cryptographic schemes as well as all involved parties.
- When honest parties initialize a scheme, they choose some value n for the security parameter.
- Can think of security parameter as corresponding to the length of the key.
- Security parameter is assumed to be known to any adversary attacking the scheme.
- View run time of the adversary and its success probability as functions of the security parameter.

## **Polynomial Time**

- Efficient adversaries = Polynomial time adversaries
  - There is some polynomial p such that the adversary runs for time at most p(n) when the security parameter is n.
  - Honest parties also run in polynomial time.
  - The adversary may be much more powerful than the honest parties.

## Negligible

- Small probability of success = negligible probability
  - A function f is negligible if for every polynomial pand all sufficiently large values of n it holds that  $f(n) < \frac{1}{p(n)}$ .
  - Intuition,  $f(n) < n^{-c}$  for every constant c, as n goes to infinity.

## Negligible

