Introduction to Cryptology

Lecture 3

Announcements

• Homework 1 due on Thursday 2/5

 Hand in code for Problem 1 and decrypted ciphertext along with other solutions

- Readings up on course webpage on Computational Complexity
 - We will start computational approach to cryptography next week.

Agenda

- Last time:
 - Cryptanalysis of the Vigenere Cipher (1.3)
 - Terminology and Definitions
- This time:
 - Terminology and Definitions
 - Formal definition of a symmetric encryption scheme (2.1)
 - Shannon's definition of perfect secrecy (2.1)
 - Equivalent definitions (2.1)
 - Construction of a perfectly secret scheme (2.2)

Terminology

- Discrete Random Variable: A discrete random variable is a variable that can take on a value from a finite set of possible different values each with an associated probability.
- Example: Bag with red, blue, yellow marbles. Random variable X describes the outcome of a random draw from the bag. The value of X can be either red, blue or yellow, each with some probability.

More Terminology

- A discrete probability distribution assigns a probability to each possible outcomes of a discrete random variable.
 - Ex: Bag with red, blue, yellow marbles.
- An experiment or trial (see below) is any procedure that can be infinitely repeated and has a well-defined set of possible outcomes, known as the sample space.

Ex: Drawing a marble at random from the bag.

- An event is a set of outcomes of an experiment (a subset of the sample space) to which a probability is assigned
 - Ex: A red marble is drawn.
 - Ex: A red or yellow marble is drawn.

Conditional Probability

- A conditional probability measures the probability of an event given that (by assumption, presumption, assertion or evidence) another event has occurred.
- Probability of event X, conditioned on event
 Y: Pr[X | Y]
- Example: Probability the second marble drawn will be red, conditioned on the first marble being yellow.

Basic Facts from Probability

- If two events are independent if and only if
 Pr[X | Y] = Pr[X].
- AND of two events: $Pr[X \land Y] = Pr[X] \cdot Pr[Y \mid X]$
- AND of two independent events: Pr[X ∧ Y] = Pr[X] · Pr[Y]
- OR of two events: $Pr[X \lor Y] \le Pr[X] + Pr[Y]$
 - This is called a "union bound."

Formally Defining a Symmetric Key Encryption Scheme

Syntax

- An encryption scheme is defined by three algorithms
 - Gen, Enc, Dec
- Specification of message space M with |M| > 1.
- Key-generation algorithm *Gen*:
 - Probabilistic algorithm
 - Outputs a key k according to some distribution.
 - Keyspace *K* is the set of all possible keys
- Encryption algorithm *Enc*:
 - Takes as input key $k \in \mathbf{K}$, message $m \in \mathbf{M}$
 - Encryption algorithm may be probabilistic
 - Outputs ciphertext $c \leftarrow Enc_k(m)$
 - Ciphertext space C is the set of all possible ciphertexts
- Decryption algorithm *Dec*:
 - Takes as input key $k \in K$, ciphertext $c \in C$
 - Decryption is deterministic
 - Outputs message $m \coloneqq Dec_k(c)$

Distributions over K, M, C

- Distribution over **K** is defined by running *Gen* and taking the output.
 - For $k \in K$, $\Pr[K = k]$ denotes the prob that the key output by *Gen* is equal to k.
- For $m \in M$, $\Pr[M = m]$ denotes the prob. That the message is equal to m.
 - Models a priori knowledge of adversary about the message.
 - E.g. Message is English text.
- Distributions over *K* and *M* are independent.
- For c ∈ C, Pr[C = c] denotes the probability that the ciphertext is c.
 - Given *Enc*, distribution over *C* is fully determined by the distributions over *K* and *M*.

Definition of Perfect Secrecy

An encryption scheme (*Gen, Enc, Dec*) over a message space *M* is perfectly secret if for every probability distribution over *M*, every message *m* ∈ *M*, and every ciphertext *c* ∈ *C* for which Pr[*C* = *c*] > 0: Pr[*M* = *m* |*C* = *c*] = Pr[*M* = *m*].

An Equivalent Formulation

Lemma: An encryption scheme
 (*Gen, Enc, Dec*) over a message space *M* is
 perfectly secret if and only if for every
 probability distribution over *M*, every message
 m ∈ *M*, and every ciphertext *c* ∈ *C*:
 Pr[*C* = *c* |*M* = *m*] = Pr[*C* = *c*].

Basic Logic

- Usually want to prove statements like $P \rightarrow Q$ ("if P then Q")
- To prove a statement $P \rightarrow Q$ we may:
 - Assume *P* is true and show that *Q* is true.
 - Prove the contrapositive: Assume that Q is false and show that P is false.

Basic Logic

• Consider a statement $P \leftrightarrow Q$ (P if and only if Q)

- Ex: Two events X, Y are independent if and only if $Pr[X \land Y] = Pr[X] \cdot Pr[Y].$

To prove a statement P ↔ Q it is sufficient to prove:

$$-P \rightarrow Q$$

$$-Q \rightarrow P$$

Proof (Preliminaries)

• Recall Bayes' Theorem:

$$-\Pr[A \mid B] = \frac{\Pr[B|A] \cdot \Pr[A]}{\Pr[B]}$$

• We will use it in the following way:

$$-\Pr[M=m | C=c] = \frac{\Pr[C=c | M=m] \cdot \Pr[M=m]}{\Pr[C=c]}$$

Proof

Proof: \rightarrow

• To prove: If an encryption scheme is perfectly secret then

"for every probability distribution over M, every message $m \in M$, and every ciphertext $c \in C$: $\Pr[C = c | M = m] = \Pr[C = c]$."

Proof (cont'd)

- Fix some probability distribution over M, some message $m \in M$, and some ciphertext $c \in C$.
- By perfect secrecy we have that

$$\Pr[M = m | C = c] = \Pr[M = m].$$

• By Bayes' Theorem we have that: $Pr[M = m | C = c] = \frac{Pr[C = c | M = m] \cdot Pr[M = m]}{Pr[C = c]} = Pr[M = m].$

• Rearranging terms we have: Pr[C = c | M = m] = Pr[C = c].