Let G be a pseudorandom generator where |G(s)| = |s| + 1

1. Define $G'(s) = G(s||\overline{s})$, where \overline{s} is the bit-wise negation of s. Is G' necessarily a pseudorandom generator?

Short answer: No. $s||\overline{s}|$ is not uniformly distributed and the guarantees of a PRG hold only when its input is uniformly distributed. To see why it is not uniformly distributed: Assume s has length n. The number of elements in the set of strings of the form $s||\overline{s}|$ is only 2^n , whereas the number of 2n-bit strings is 2^{2n} . Therefore, we are sampling from a $1/2^n$ -fraction of the total number of strings.

2. Define $G'(s) = G(s)||G(\overline{s})|$, where \overline{s} is the bit-wise negation of s. Is G' necessarily a pseudorandom generator?

Short answer: No. We can generate multiple pseudorandom strings using a PRG, but each time we run the PRG the seed must be chosen uniformly at random and independently from all other seeds. In this case, each of s, \overline{s} are individually uniform random, but they are not independent.

3. Define $G'(s) = G(s)_1 || G(G(s)_2, ..., G(s)_{|s|+1})$, where $G(s)_i$ denotes the i-th output bit of G(s). Is G' necessarily a pseudorandom generator?

Short answer: Yes. We are basically running a PRG on the output of a PRG. We can argue as follows: The output of the first invocation of the PRG is pseudorandom since the seed s was uniform random. The second invocation does not get a uniform random input, but a pseudorandom input. But pseudorandom is as good as random when we are concerned with poly-time distinguishers only (as is the case here). So the output of the second invocation is also pseudorandom.