Cryptography

Lecture 4

Announcements

- HW1 due Wednesday, 2/8 at beginning of class
- Discrete Math Readings/Quizzes due Friday, 2/10 @ 11:59pm

Agenda

- Last time:
 - Perfect Secrecy (K/L 2.1)
 - One time pad (OTP) (K/L 2.2)
- This time:
 - Limitations of perfect secrecy (K/L 2.3)
 - Shannon's Theorem (K/L 2.4)
 - The Computational Approach (K/L 3.1)

Drawbacks of OTP

- Key length is the same as the message length.
 - For every bit communicated over a public channel,
 a bit must be shared privately.
 - We will see this is not just a problem with the OTP scheme, but an inherent problem in perfectly secret encryption schemes.
 - Key can only be used once. $\bigcirc C_0 = \mathbb{K} \otimes M_0 = \mathbb{M}_0 \otimes M_1$
 - You will see in the homework that this is also an inherent problem.

Limitations of Perfect Secrecy

Theorem: Let (Gen, Enc, Dec) be a perfectly-secret encryption scheme over a message space M, and let K be the key space as determined by Gen. Then $|K| \ge |M|$.

Size of the Keyspare is at least the size of the message space.

Proof Technique: Proof by contradiction p>q = 1977 Assume MR < MM. Prove that (Sen, Enc, Dec) is NOT pufectly secret. = 3 dist own M and the exists m,c s.t. Pr[M=m] C=c] 7 Pr[M=m]. Proof Considu the uniform dist. over of Choose a arbitrary c st. Pr (C-c) > 0. Define a set M(c). a set of messages that can be reached from c. M(c)={m | 3 KE & for which m = Decx(c)}, 19h(1) (3) 19x (3) 9h (let mot be the elements in My and not in My(c). Pr(M=m2) = m2 = m2 by choice of dist. Pr [M=m&] [=c] = 0

Proof

Proof (by contradiction): We show that if |K| < |M| then the scheme cannot be perfectly secret.

- Assume |K| < |M|. Consider the uniform distribution over M and let $c \in C$.
- Let M(c) be the set of all possible messages which are possible decryptions of c.

$$M(c) := \{m' | m' = Dec_k(c) for some k \in K\}$$

Proof

$$M(c) := \{ m' | m' = Dec_k(c) for some k \in K \}$$

- $|M(c)| \le |K|$. Why?
- Since we assumed |K| < |M|, this means that there is some $m' \in M$ such that $m' \notin M(c)$.
- But then

$$\Pr[M = m' | C = c] = 0 \neq \Pr[M = m']$$

And so the scheme is not perfectly secret.

Shannon's Theorem

- Let (Gen, Enc, Dec) be an encryption scheme with message space M, for which |M| = |K| = |C|. The scheme is perfectly secret if and only if:
- 1. Every key $k \in K$ is chosen with equal probability 1/|K| by algorithm Gen.
- 2. For every $m \in M$ and every $c \in C$, there exists a unique key $k \in K$ such that $Enc_k(m)$ outputs c.
- **Theorem only applies when |M| = |K| = |C|.

Some Examples

- Is the following scheme perfectly secret? $N \rightarrow$
- Message space $M = \{0, 1, ..., n 1\}$. Key space $K = \{0,1,...,n-1\}.$
- Gen() chooses a key k at random from K.
- $\operatorname{Enc}_k(m)$ returns m + k.

•
$$Dec_k(c)$$
 returns $c - k$.

 $\exists Acstover M, \exists m, C$
 $\exists k = \{0, \dots, \exists (n-1)\}\}$
 $\exists k = \{0, \dots,$

Some Examples by Shawer's

- Is the following scheme perfectly secret?
- Message space $\pmb{M} = \{0,1,\dots,n-1\}$. Key space $\pmb{K} = \{0,1,\dots,n-1\}$.
- Gen() chooses a key k at random from K.
- $\operatorname{Enc}_k(m)$ returns $(m + k) \mod n$.
- $Dec_k(c)$ returns (c k) mod n.

The Computational Approach

Two main relaxations:

- Security is only guaranteed against efficient adversaries that run for some feasible amount of time.
- 2. Adversaries can potentially succeed with some very small probability.