Introduction	Connecting Mechanics to Analysis	Connecting Analysis to Structural Design	Theory of Structures	Simplifying Assun

### Introduction to Structural Analysis

### Mark A. Austin

University of Maryland

austin@umd.edu ENCE 353, Fall Semester 2020

February 2, 2022

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のQ@

Introduction	Connecting Mechanics to Analysis	Connecting Analysis to Structural Design	Theory of Structures	Simplifying Assum

### Overview



- Course Introduction
- 2 Connecting Mechanics to Analysis
- 3 Connecting Analysis to Structural Design
  - Connecting Analysis to Structural Design
- Theory of Structures
  - Statically Determinate and Indeterminate Structures
- **5** Simplifying Assumptions
  - Small Displacements, Linear Systems Behavior



## Introduction

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

Introduction Connecting Mechanics to Analysis Connecting Analysis to Structural Design Theory of Structures Simplifying Assum

## Definition of Structural Mechanics

**Mechanics.** Branch of science that deals with response of matter to forces.

Civil Engineering:

- Structural mechanics (σ ε): material displacement.
- Geomechanics (σ ε): pressure, temperature, displacements.
- Fluid mechanics (σ ε): pressure, velocities.

Other domains:

 Biomechanics (σ - ε): eye, heart, biological systems that grow!



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Introduction Connecting Mechanics to Analysis Connecting Analysis to Structural Design Theory of Structures Simplifying Assum

### Structural Mechanics and Analysis

Structural Mechanics  $\rightarrow$  Static / Dynamic Analysis of Structures:



▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

## Structural Mechanics and Analysis

Scope of this class:

• We will be concerned with structural systems that are attached to the ground.

Pathway forward:

- Connect mechanics to analysis ...
- Connect analysis to design ...
- Theory of structural analysis ...

Statically determinate structures ...

Statically indeterminate structures ...

• Simplifying assumptions ...

Introduction Connecting Mechanics to Analysis Connecting Analysis to Structural Design Theory of Structures Simplifying Assum

# **Connecting Mechanics to Analysis**

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Introduction Connecting Mechanics to Analysis Connecting Analysis to Structural Design Theory of Structures Simplifying Assum

### Structural Mechanics and Analysis



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ



### Concrete Beam: Load-to-Failure Experiment





### Concrete Beam: Load-to-Failure Experiment



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

## Pathway from Mechanics to System-Level Behavior

### From material-level mechanics to building-system response:



How will the integration work?

- Analytical Procedures: The math needs to be "nice" ...
- Numerical Procedures: Compute approximate solutions  $\rightarrow$  linear algebra, numerical algorithms, structural analysis and finite elements.

 Introduction
 Connecting Mechanics to Analysis
 Connecting Analysis to Structural Design
 Theory of Structures
 Simplifying Assum

 0000
 00000
 000000
 0000000
 0000000
 00000000

# **Connecting Analysis to Design**

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

### Framework for Analysis and Design

### Creating an Analysis Model

- Abstract from consideration details not needed for decision making.
- Validate that model captures essential aspects of real-world behavior.
- Decision making needed for design.
- Perfect is the enemy of good. Mathematical model and decision making does not need to be perfect in order to be useful.



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ● ●

Introduction Connecting Mechanics to Analysis **Connecting Analysis to Structural Design** Theory of Structures Simplifying Assum

### Connecting Analysis to Design

**Structural Design.** Sequence of analyses punctuated by decision making.



- Determine types and magnitudes of loads and forces acting on the structure.
- Determine context of project: geometric constraints, architectural constraints, geological conditions, urban regulations, cost, schedule, etc.

### Connecting Analysis to Design

- Generate structural system alternatives.
- Analyze one or more of the alternatives.
- Select and perform detailed design.
- Implement/build.

Analysis and decision making procedures complicated by uncertainties in loading, material properties, etc. State-of-the-art methods compensate for uncertainties with safety factors.



▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

New structural systems may also require an experimental testing phase to verify behavior and achievable system performance. IntroductionConnecting Mechanics to AnalysisConnecting Analysis to Structural Design<br/>00000000Theory of StructuresSimplifying Assum<br/>000000000

### Connecting Analysis to Design

### **Real-World and Idealized Abstractions**



æ

### Connecting Analysis to Design

#### Formal Approaches to Behavior Modeling and Decision Making

Appropriate formalisms depend on the design domain of interest.

- Physical aspects of behavior are often characterized by differential equations.
- Logical aspects of system design can be captured by binary and multi-valued logic variables and boolean equations.



## Connecting Analysis to Design

#### **Structural Behavior**



- M, C, and K are (n × n) matrices,
- x is a (n × 1) vector of displacements,
- P(t) is a vector of external loads applied to the structural degrees of freedom.

#### **Design Parameters**

• Selection of the best structural system (e.g., braced system) from a list of options.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

• Size of the beams, columns, and bracing (if required).

IntroductionConnecting Mechanics to AnalysisConnecting Analysis to Structural DesignTheory of StructuresSimplifying Assum0000000000000000000000000000000

# **Theory of Structures**

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ



### Theory of Structures

Structural Mechanics  $\rightarrow$  Static / Dynamic Analysis of Structures:



▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Introduction Connecting Mechanics to Analysis Connecting Analysis to Structural Design **Theory of Structures** Simplifying Assum

### Statically Determinate Structures

**Definition.** Can use statics to determine reactions and distribution of element-level forces. Determinacy is not affected by details of loading.

**Two-Dimensional Problems** 

$$\sum F_x = 0, \ \sum F_y = 0, \ \sum M_z = 0.$$
 (1)

**Three-Dimensional Problems** 

$$\sum F_x = 0, \ \sum F_y = 0, \ \sum F_z = 0.$$
 (2)

$$\sum M_x = 0, \ \sum M_y = 0, \ \sum M_z = 0.$$
 (3)

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへで

#### 

### Statically Determinate Structures

**Example 1.** Simply supported beam:



Three equations of equilibrium:  $\sum F_x = 0$ ,  $\sum F_y = 0$ ,  $\sum M_z = 0$ . Three unknowns:  $V_A$ ,  $H_A$  and  $V_B \rightarrow$  Can use statics to solve.

・ロト・西・・田・・田・・日・

IntroductionConnecting Mechanics to AnalysisConnecting Analysis to Structural DesignTheory of StructuresSimplifying Assum000000000000000000000000000000000

## Statically Determinate Structures

Example 2. Small truss structure:



- Use statics to find support reactions  $V_A$ ,  $H_A$  and  $V_B$ .
- Compute member forces by considering equilibrium of individual joints.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへの

## Statically Indeterminate Structures

**Definition.** Statics alone are not enough to find reactions. Need to find additional information (e.g., material behavior).

**Example 1.** Simply supported beam:



Three equations of equilibrium:  $\sum F_x = 0$ ,  $\sum F_y = 0$ ,  $\sum M_z = 0$ . Four unknowns:  $V_A$ ,  $H_A$ ,  $V_B$  and  $H_B \rightarrow 4 > 3 \rightarrow$  statically indeterminate to degree 1.



## Statically Indeterminate Structures



Three equations of equilibrium. Four unknowns:  $V_A$ ,  $H_A$ ,  $V_B$  and  $V_C \rightarrow 4 > 3 \rightarrow$  statically indeterminate to degree 1.

Example 3. Multi-material Truss Element.

Material behavior defined by  $\sigma - \epsilon$  characteristics. Need to maintain geometric compatibility.



 Introduction
 Connecting Mechanics to Analysis
 Connecting Analysis to Structural Design
 Theory of Structures
 Simplifying Assun

 0000
 00000
 000000
 0000000
 0000000
 00000000

# Simplifying Assumptions for ENCE 353

Small Displacements Linear Systems Behavior

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

## Assumption 1: Small Displacements

**Definition.** We assume that application of loads will cause a displacement (i.e., elements are not rigid).

Example 1. Axial extension of a Rod



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

IntroductionConnecting Mechanics to AnalysisConnecting Analysis to Structural DesignTheory of StructuresSimplifying Assur000000000000000000000000000000000000000

### Assumption 1: Small Displacements

Example 2. Flexure of Beam Elements.



For steel/concrete structures:  $a \approx b$  (i.e., a > 0.99b)  $\rightarrow$  compute equilibrium with respect to the undeformed configuration.

IntroductionConnecting Mechanics to AnalysisConnecting Analysis to Structural DesignTheory of StructuresSimplifying Assun000000000000000000000000000000000000000

### Assumption 1: Counter Examples

### Arch Structures. Vintage Safeway Supermarkets.



**Style:** Use mid-century modern arch shape to create large open spaces.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

IntroductionConnecting Mechanics to AnalysisConnecting Analysis to Structural DesignTheory of StructureSimplifying Assum000000000000000000000000000000000000

### Assumption 1: Counter Examples

**Nice Trick:** When heavy snow loads cause large roof deflections, arch mechanism gives illusion of safety!



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Google: safeway roof collapse.

### Assumption 1: Counter Examples

Large Geometric Displacements. Wind turbine blades, flexible robot arms, etc ...

Roll cantilever into circle.



Source: Simo, Vu-Quoc, 1986.

Flexible robot arm maneuver.



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへで

### Assumption 1: Counter Examples

**Large Material Displacements.** Behavior of Lead-Rubber Isolators under Large Cyclic Earthquake Loads.



Hysteresis Loops



▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Source: Lin W-J., 1997.

### Assumption 2: Linear Systems Behavior

**Mathematical Definition.** Let k be a non-zero constant. A function y = f(x) is said to be linear if it satisfies two properties:

• 
$$y = f(kx_1)$$
 is equal to  $y = kf(x_1)$ .

• 
$$f(x_1 + x_2) = f(x_1) + f(x_2)$$
.

For constants k and m these equations can be combined:

$$kf(x_1) + mf(x_2) \to f(kx_1 + mx_2).$$
 (4)

**Economic Benefit.** Often evaluation of y = f(x) has a cost.

Linearity allows us to compute  $y_1 = f(x_1)$  and  $y_2 = f(x_2)$  and then predict the system response for  $kx_1 + mx_2$  via linear combination of solutions. This is free!



### Assumption 2: Linear Systems Behavior

**Example 1.** Consider an experiment to determine the extension of an elastic chord as a function of applied force.



$$Kx_1 = F_1, Kx_2 = F_2, \rightarrow K(mx_1 + nx_2) = mF_1 + nF_2.$$
 (5)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへ⊙

### Assumption 2: Linear Systems Behavior

Example 2. Analysis of Linear Structural Systems:

Suppose that matrix equations AX = B represent behavior of a structural system:

- Matrix A will capture the geometry, material properties, etc.
- Matrix B represents externally applied loads (e.g., dead/live gravity loads).
- Column vector X represents nodal displacements.

Solving AX = B has computational cost  $O(n^3)$ .

However, if matrix system is linear, then:

$$AX_1 = B_1, AX_2 = B_2 \rightarrow A(mX_1 + kX_2) = mB_1 + kB_2.$$
 (6)

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

### Assumption 2: Linear Systems Behavior

We can simply add the results of multiple load cases:



Works for support reactions, bending moments, displacements, etc.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

 Introduction
 Connecting Mechanics to Analysis
 Connecting Analysis to Structural Design
 Theory of Structures
 Simplifying Assun

 0000
 00000
 000000
 0000000
 0000000
 00000000

# **Symmetries**

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

## Taking Advantage of Symmetry

**Observation.** Symmetries provide engineers with an opportunity to reduce model size and computational effort.

**Definitions.** Here's what a mathematician would say:

- A function is even (symmetric) when y = f(x) = f(-x). Examples:  $y = x^2$  and y = cos(x).
- A function is odd (skew-symmetric) when y = g(x) = -g(-x). Examples:  $y = x^3$  and y = sin(x).

Home Exercise. Show that:

$$\int_{-a}^{a} f(x)g(x)dx = 0.$$
(7)

We will use this later in the course to simplify analysis.

 Introduction
 Connecting Mechanics to Analysis
 Connecting Analysis to Structural Design
 Theory of Structures
 Simplifying Assum

 0000
 00000
 000000
 0000000
 00000000
 00000000

## Taking Advantage of Symmetry

Example 1. Consider the Small Truss Structure:

- Axis of symmetry works for geometry, loading patterns and reactions.
- Only need to compute member forces *a e*.
- Model reduction requires careful treatment of boundary conditions.



IntroductionConnecting Mechanics to AnalysisConnecting Analysis to Structural DesignTheory of StructuresSimplifying Assun000000000000000000000000000000

### Taking Advantage of Symmetry

**Model Reduction:** Cut model size in half, then adjust boundary conditions along axis of symmetry.



(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

 Introduction
 Connecting Mechanics to Analysis
 Connecting Analysis to Structural Design
 Theory of Structures
 Simplifying Assun

 0000
 00000
 000000
 0000000
 0000000
 00000000

### Taking Advantage of Symmetry

Example 2. Stress Analysis in Cross Section of a Long Pipe



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 \_ のへで

IntroductionConnecting Mechanics to AnalysisConnecting Analysis to Structural DesignTheory of StructuresSimplifying Assum000000000000000000000000000000000000

### Taking Advantage of Symmetry

Two axes of symmetry for geometry and loading  $\rightarrow$  Only need to analyze 1/4 of the cross section.

Stresses:  $\sigma_{yy}(x, y)$ 



Stresses:  $\sigma_{xx}(x, y)$ 



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Introduction	Connecting Mechanics to Analysis	Connecting Analysis to Structural Design	Theory of Structures	Simplifying Assum

### References

- Wane-Jang Lin, Modern Computational Environments for Seismic Analysis of Highway Bridge Structures, PhD Thesis, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, 1997.
- Simo J.C., Vu-Quoc L., On the Dynamics of Flexible Beams Under Large Overall Motions—The Plane Case: Part II, Journal of Applied Mechanics, (53) 4, 855-863, 1986.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●