Introduction	Connecting Mechanics to Analysis	Connecting Analysis to Structural Design	Theory of Structures	Simplifying Assun

Introduction to Structural Analysis

Mark A. Austin

University of Maryland

austin@umd.edu ENCE 353, Fall Semester 2020

September 3, 2020

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Intro 000	oduction	Connecting Mechanics to Analysis	Connecting Analysis to Structural Design	Theory of Structures	Simplifying Assur
0	verv	iew			
					7
	1	Introduction Course Introduction 	n		
	2	Connecting Mechanic	s to Analysis		
	3	Connecting Analysis t • Connecting Analysi	to Structural Design is to Structural Design		
	4	Theory of Structures Statically Determin 	ate and Indeterminate St	ructures	
	5	Simplifying Assumption Small Displacement	ons ts, Linear Systems Behav	ior	Part 3
	6	Symmetries			

 Introduction
 Connecting Mechanics to Analysis
 Connecting Analysis to Structural Design
 Theory of Structures
 Simplifying Assum

 •000
 •0000
 •00000
 •00000
 •000000
 •000000

Introduction

Introduction Connecting Mechanics to Analysis Connecting Analysis to Structural Design Theory of Structures Simplifying Assum

Structural Mechanics and Analysis

Structural Mechanics \rightarrow Static / Dynamic Analysis of Structures:



▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Structural Mechanics and Analysis

Scope of this class:

• We will be concerned with structural systems that are attached to the ground.

Pathway forward:

- Connect mechanics to analysis ...
- Connect analysis to design ...
- Theory of structural analysis ...

Statically determinate structures ...

Statically indeterminate structures ...

• Simplifying assumptions ...

 Introduction
 Connecting Mechanics to Analysis
 Connecting Analysis to Structural Design
 Theory of Structures
 Simplifying Assun

 0000
 00000
 000000
 000000
 0000000
 00000000

Simplifying Assumptions for ENCE 353

Small Displacements Linear Systems Behavior

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

IntroductionConnecting Mechanics to AnalysisConnecting Analysis to Structural DesignTheory of StructuresSimplifying Assum0000000000000000000000000000000000

Assumption 1: Small Displacements

Definition. We assume that application of loads will cause a displacement (i.e., elements are not rigid).

Example 1. Axial extension of a Rod



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

IntroductionConnecting Mechanics to AnalysisConnecting Analysis to Structural DesignTheory of StructuresSimplifying Assur00000000000000000000000000000000000

Assumption 1: Small Displacements

Example 2. Flexure of Beam Elements.



For steel/concrete structures: $a \approx b$ (i.e., a > 0.99b) \rightarrow compute equilibrium with respect to the undeformed configuration.

IntroductionConnecting Mechanics to AnalysisConnecting Analysis to Structural DesignTheory of StructuresSimplifying Assun0000000000000000000000000000000000000

Assumption 1: Counter Examples

Arch Structures. Vintage Safeway Supermarkets.



Style: Use mid-century modern arch shape to create large open spaces.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

IntroductionConnecting Mechanics to AnalysisConnecting Analysis to Structural DesignTheory of StructuresSimplifying Assum0000000000000000000000000000000000

Assumption 1: Counter Examples

Nice Trick: When heavy snow loads cause large roof deflections, arch mechanism gives illusion of safety!



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Google: safeway roof collapse.

Assumption 1: Counter Examples

Large Geometric Displacements. Wind turbine blades, flexible robot arms, etc ...

Roll cantilever into circle.



Source: Simo, Vu-Quoc, 1986.

Flexible robot arm maneuver.



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへで

Assumption 1: Counter Examples

Large Material Displacements. Behavior of Lead-Rubber Isolators under Large Cyclic Earthquake Loads.



Lead-Rubber Laminated Bearing

Hysteresis Loops



▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Source: Lin W-J., 1997.

Assumption 2: Linear Systems Behavior

Mathematical Definition. Let k be a non-zero constant. A function y = f(x) is said to be linear if it satisfies two properties:

•
$$y = f(kx_1)$$
 is equal to $y = kf(x_1)$.

•
$$f(x_1 + x_2) = f(x_1) + f(x_2)$$
.

For constants k and m these equations can be combined:

$$kf(x_1) + mf(x_2) \to f(kx_1 + mx_2).$$
 (4)

Economic Benefit. Often evaluation of y = f(x) has a cost.

Linearity allows us to compute $y_1 = f(x_1)$ and $y_2 = f(x_2)$ and then predict the system response for $kx_1 + mx_2$ via linear combination of solutions. This is free!



Assumption 2: Linear Systems Behavior

Example 1. Consider an experiment to determine the extension of an elastic chord as a function of applied force.



$$Kx_1 = F_1, Kx_2 = F_2, \rightarrow K(mx_1 + nx_2) = mF_1 + nF_2.$$
 (5)

Assumption 2: Linear Systems Behavior

Example 2. Analysis of Linear Structural Systems:

Suppose that matrix equations AX = B represent behavior of a structural system:

- Matrix A will capture the geometry, material properties, etc.
- Matrix B represents externally applied loads (e.g., dead/live gravity loads).
- Column vector X represents nodal displacements.

Solving AX = B has computational cost $O(n^3)$.

However, if matrix system is linear, then:

$$AX_1 = B_1, AX_2 = B_2 \rightarrow A(mX_1 + kX_2) = mB_1 + kB_2.$$
 (6)

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Assumption 2: Linear Systems Behavior

We can simply add the results of multiple load cases:



Works for support reactions, bending moments, displacements, etc.

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 _ のへで

 Introduction
 Connecting Mechanics to Analysis
 Connecting Analysis to Structural Design
 Theory of Structures
 Simplifying Assum

 0000
 00000
 000000
 000000
 0000000
 00000000

Symmetries

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲匡▶ ▲匡▶ ― 匡 … のへで

Taking Advantage of Symmetry

Observation. Symmetries provide engineers with an opportunity to reduce model size and computational effort.

Definitions. Here's what a mathematician would say:

- A function is even (symmetric) when y = f(x) = f(-x). Examples: $y = x^2$ and y = cos(x).
- A function is odd (skew-symmetric) when y = g(x) = -g(-x).
 Examples: y = x³ and y = sine(x).

Home Exercise. Show that:

$$\int_{a}^{-a} f(x)g(x)dx = 0.$$
(7)

We will use this later in the course to simplify analysis.

 Introduction
 Connecting Mechanics to Analysis
 Connecting Analysis to Structural Design
 Theory of Structures
 Simplifying Assum

 0000
 00000
 000000
 000000
 0000000
 00000000

Taking Advantage of Symmetry

Example 1. Consider the Small Truss Structure:

- Axis of symmetry works for geometry, loading patterns and reactions.
- Only need to compute member forces *a e*.
- Model reduction requires careful treatment of boundary conditions.



 Introduction
 Connecting Mechanics to Analysis
 Connecting Analysis to Structural Design
 Theory of Structures
 Simplifying Assun

 0000
 00000
 000000
 000000
 0000000
 0000000

Taking Advantage of Symmetry

Model Reduction: Cut model size in half, then adjust boundary conditions along axis of symmetry.



イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

3

 Introduction
 Connecting Mechanics to Analysis
 Connecting Analysis to Structural Design
 Theory of Structures
 Simplifying Assun

 0000
 00000
 000000
 000000
 0000000
 00000000

Taking Advantage of Symmetry

Example 2. Stress Analysis in Cross Section of a Long Pipe



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ○臣 - の々で

IntroductionConnecting Mechanics to AnalysisConnecting Analysis to Structural DesignTheory of StructuresSimplifying Assum000000000000000000000000000000000

Taking Advantage of Symmetry

Two axes of symmetry for geometry and loading \rightarrow Only need to analyze 1/4 of the cross section.

Stresses: $\sigma_{yy}(x, y)$



Stresses: $\sigma_{xx}(x, y)$



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Introduction	Connecting Mechanics to Analysis	Connecting Analysis to Structural Design	Theory of Structures	Simplifying Assum

References

- Wane-Jang Lin, Modern Computational Environments for Seismic Analysis of Highway Bridge Structures, PhD Thesis, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, 1997.
- Simo J.C., Vu-Quoc L., On the Dynamics of Flexible Beams Under Large Overall Motions—The Plane Case: Part II, Journal of Applied Mechanics, (53) 4, 855-863, 1986.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●